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#### Abstract

It is commonly believed that some participants in commercial fishing begin as crewmembers then work their way up to holding permits and acting as skippers for commercial fishing operations. Very little is known about crewmembers beyond the fact they have obtained a license from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The information that is collected each time a crewmember license is issued was used to estimate the unique individuals in historic crewmember license data and to identify those who have also held a Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission (CFEC) commercial fishing permit. A total of 30,225 individuals were identified as having held a commercial crewmember license between 1988 and 2007 as well as a CFEC commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2007. The permit holding trends of crewmember license holders were analyzed by residency, region of the state, Alaskan census area, and the rural or urban designation of license holders' communities. Because a more complete picture of commercial fishermen's combined license and permit history was determined, the length of commercial fishing careers were estimated and compared for license holders with and without CFEC permits. As a result of identifying permit holders among the crewmember license holders, and the accompanying demographic and longevity analyses, additional information is available about crewmember license holders not previously known.
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### 1.0 Introduction

The commercial fisheries of Alaska are diverse. They encompass groundfish, shellfish, herring, and salmon species, and range from the tip of Southeast Alaska north to the Chukchi Sea. Individuals from across the state, the nation, and from around the world contribute to the success of Alaska's commercial fisheries as permit holders, crewmembers, vessel owners, seafood processing industry workers, management biologists, and workers in the support service industries.

A variety of data are collected, analyzed, and reported on for the different segments of the population associated with commercial fishing. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) collects harvest and effort data for permit holders, the Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission (CFEC) gathers economic and demographic data for permit holders and vessel owners, and the Department of Labor and Workforce Development collects wage and labor information for employees in the seafood processing industry.

The primary source of information about crewmembers arises from the commercial crewmember licenses issued by ADF\&G. According to ADF\&G regulations, a person is required to obtain a commercial crewmember license in order to participate in commercial fishing in any waters of Alaska, if they do not already hold a valid CFEC interim-use or limited entry permit card (5 AAC 39.110). With the issuance of each license, certain data are collected about the license holder. Participation data are not currently collected for crewmembers, so it is only possible to identify those who have obtained a crewmember license, rather than those who have participated in one of Alaska's fisheries as a crewmember with their license or with their CFEC permit card.

It is commonly believed that crewmember license holders participate in fisheries as crewmembers and sometimes work their way up to skippering commercial fishing operations over time. Because participation data for crewmembers are not collected, it is not possible to substantiate this belief through existing participation or fish ticket data. However, an effort was recently made by CFEC to estimate the unique individuals in historic crewmember license data and to identify those which have also held a CFEC commercial fishing permit. This cannot definitively identify crewmembers that advance to skippering commercial fishing operations, but it can link individuals from within the two data sources that contain the potential participants of Alaska's commercial fisheries.

It would seem that identifying individuals in both data sources should be a straight forward endeavor. However, due to the variability of responses found in the crewmember license data and potential discrepancies between the information in the two sources it was not an easy task. An earlier CFEC report discusses the sources of variability in the crewmember license data and explains some of the complications encountered when estimating unique individuals in the historic crewmember license data. ${ }^{1}$ Those same complications exist when trying to match individuals in the ADF\&G crewmember license data and the CFEC permit holder data. As such, all results presented in this report should be viewed cautiously and considered estimates.

This report explains how individuals in the ADF\&G commercial crewmember license data were identified within the CFEC permit holder data, then proceeds to summarize the permit holding trends revealed for crewmember license holders. Factors such as year, residency, region of the state, and rural or urban designation were considered. The types of CFEC permits held by crewmember license holders were also examined, and the length of time holding permits contributed to a crewmember's commercial fishing career was estimated.

[^0]Several of the key points identified through this study include:

- 30,225 of the 181,056 estimated crewmember license holders between 1988 and 2007 have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2007 (16.7\%).
- The number and percentage of crewmember license holders that have held CFEC permits has declined over time. This decline may simply reflect data constraints but may also be due to decreases in entry level opportunities and/or the consolidation of numerous commercial fisheries. The pattern is seen for both resident and nonresident crewmember license holders.
- Alaska residents account for $72.1 \%$ of the crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit. Individuals from Western Alaska account for $40.1 \%$ of the Alaska resident crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit (28.9\% overall). Individuals from rural Alaskan communities account for $55.7 \%$ of the Alaska resident crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit ( $40.1 \%$ overall).
- $59.4 \%$ of the crewmember license holders that were the holder of record for a CFEC permit, rather than an emergency transfer holder, held a permit in a limited entry fishery. Salmon permits were, by far, the most commonly held type of permit.
- Crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit tend to have held crewmember licenses in more years than crewmembers that have not held a CFEC permit. Crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit also appear to have longer commercial fishing careers than crewmembers that have not held a CFEC permit.


### 2.0 Identification of Unique Crewmember License Holders

Individuals who obtained commercial crewmember licenses in the past did not necessarily have a reliable unique identification number in the crewmember license data. Crewmember licenses were issued annually by ADF\&G and as such each crewmember had a different license number each year. There was no definitive information linking a license holder from one year to licenses held by the same individual in another year. Information such as social security number, birth date, first name, and last name were collected with the issuance of crew licenses, but unfortunately, none acted as a completely reliable unique identifier in the crewmember data because any of these fields could be missing, contain inaccurate data, or contain data in different forms (e.g., Catherine, Cathy, C.).

### 2.1 Initial Assignment of Identification Number

CFEC attempted to identify distinct individuals found within the license data and then assigned an identification number to each license associated with that individual. Information found in the social security number, birth date, first name, and last name fields were used in combination to identify the distinct individuals. This initial assignment of a unique identification number was performed on crewmember license data from 1988 through 2006. An in-depth description of this process can be found in the CFEC report entitled A Unique Identifier for Commercial Crewmember License Data. ${ }^{2}$ Through this initial effort, a total of 202,795 unique identification numbers were assigned to 512,432 valid commercial crewmember licenses between 1988 and 2006.

Unfortunately, the assignment of a unique identification number was far from perfect. Despite attempts to assign identification numbers to unique individuals, this process sometimes resulted in different people receiving the same identification number or an individual receiving more than one identification number. Both situations arose due to the nature of the data and the semi-automated process used to identify individuals.

### 2.2 Updated Assignment of Identification Number

After receiving 2007 and updated 2006 license data from ADF\&G, CFEC chose to repeat the process of identifying distinct individuals within the license data and assigning an identification number to each license associated with that individual. ${ }^{3}$ Using experience gained from the initial assignment, the process was adapted in hopes of reducing the number of instances where more than one person received the same identification number or a single person received more than one identification number. The basic principles of the first identification number assignment were followed; individuals were identified and identification numbers were assigned to license records that contained identical information in certain combinations of the SSN, birth date, first name, and last name fields. ${ }^{4}$

[^1]The execution of the process differed because each potential match between license records was reviewed. In the original process, only a subset of the license records that matched was reviewed. For each combination of fields, if most of the matches that were reviewed appeared to be the same person, then all the matches based on that combination of fields were accepted as an individual. This likely resulted in some cases where different people erroneously received the same identification number. If an unsatisfactory number of matches that were reviewed appeared to reflect different people, then none of the matches based on that combination of fields were accepted as an individual. As a result, some individuals probably received more than one identification number. In this second attempt at identifying unique individuals in the crewmember license data, each potential match between license records was reviewed. While this in-depth review was time consuming, it improved the accuracy of identifying individuals and permitted potential matches by more of the field combinations. Through this effort, 181,056 unique identification numbers were assigned to 533,219 valid commercial crewmember licenses between 1988 and 2007.

### 2.3 Future Identification of Unique Individuals

Crewmember license holders are now assigned a customer service number (CSN) by ADF\&G which acts as a unique identifier on their crewmember and other ADF\&G issued licenses. This identification number will tie license data for an individual within a year and from year to year. No after-the fact assignment of a unique identification number will be necessary. There are no current plans to 'back-fill' these CSN identifiers on older years of crewmember license data, so for historical license information a unique identification number will still need to be assigned. Unfortunately, there is no correlation between the unique identification numbers assigned to individuals in the historic data by CFEC and the CSN assigned to individuals in the current data by ADF\&G. A special project would need to be undertaken to bridge the two datasets.

### 3.0 Comparison of Crewmember License Holders to CFEC Permit Holders

Individuals and their permit history are easily identified in the CFEC permit data. Each individual has a unique identifier and every permit held by that individual can be linked using the unique identifier. In historic ADF\&G commercial crewmember license data an individual was not issued a unique identifier so estimating all the licenses held by an individual was difficult. With the assignment of unique identifiers to the commercial crewmember license data it is possible to identify crewmember license history. This section explains the process used to identify individuals that have held both a commercial crewmember license and a CFEC commercial fishing permit. By identifying individuals with both a permit and crewmember license, a more complete picture of an individual's combined license and permit history can be seen.

### 3.1 ADF\&G Crewmember License Data

For this project, crewmember license data from 1988 through 2007 were used. ${ }^{5}$ The information contained in the crewmember license data for an individual during that time period may or may not be constant across time. Due to the nature of ADF\&G's crewmember license data, there may be a variety of responses on different licenses for an individual in a given field. The variety of responses may arise for several reasons: the applicant may provide different or incorrect information, ADF\&G staff may misinterpret handwritten information, and an error may be introduced during data entry despite measures in place to prevent mistakes. A CFEC report entitled Preliminary Examination of Commercial Crewmember License Data addresses these issues more in-depth. ${ }^{6}$ The potential variety of responses for an individual in the crewmember license data or lack of response in some fields complicates the comparison to CFEC permit data, but does not prevent it. This very problem was overcome during the assignment of unique identification numbers.

### 3.2 CFEC Permit Data

CFEC's permit data contains State of Alaska commercial fishing permit information from 1975 until present. Because this project began while the 2008 licensing year was still in progress, 2008 permit data were incomplete. As such, permit data from 1975 through 2007 were used for this project.

Each individual in the system has a unique identification number designated as their CFEC file number. This identification number links each permit held by an individual within a year and across all years. Information about an individual is held constant across all of their permits and across all years. As such, the SSN, birth date, and name are the same on every permit held by an individual. It is possible that data errors exist in the CFEC permit data, however, personal information is reviewed each year that a permit is renewed or issued to an individual. Any corrections that are made are applied to all associated permit records. A record is kept of all historic SSNs or names previously used by an individual.

### 3.3 Comparison Process

The process used to identify individuals that have held both a crewmember license data and a CFEC permit was similar to the process used to identify unique individuals within the crewmember license data. Data records from the two sources were compared based on information found within the social security number (SSN), birth date, first name, and last name fields. Different combinations of those fields were used for comparisons between the 2

[^2]datasets. When the information found within certain combinations of those fields were identical, the licenses of the individual in the crewmember license data were linked to the permits of the individual in the CFEC permit data.

Because information about a permit holder is consistent across all permit records examined for this project, a single option for each SSN, birth date, last name, and first name were compared to each of the values found for an individual in the crewmember license data. The following fictitious example attempts to illustrate how a single response is available for each field for the CFEC permit holder, yet more than one response is possible for the ADF\&G crewmember license holder.

Table 1. A Fictitious Example of CFEC Permit Data and ADF\&G Commercial Crewmember License Data for an Individual

| CFEC Permit Data |  |  |  |  | Crewmember License Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSN ${ }^{1}$ | First Name | Last <br> Name | Birth Date | CFEC <br> File <br> Number | SSN ${ }^{1}$ | First Name | Last <br> Name | Birth Date | Assigned Crew ID Number |
| XX1-22-3333 | Jennie | Doe-Smith | 05/12/1980 | 123456 | XX1-22-3333 | Jennifer | Doe | 05/12/1980 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3333 | Jennifer | Doe | 05/12/1980 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3333 | Jen | Doe | 05/12/1980 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3333 | Jennifer | Poe | 05/12/1980 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3333 | Jen | Doe | 05/12/1930 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3333 | Jennifer | Doe | 01/01/1901 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3333 | Jennifer | Doe |  | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX7-22-3333 | Jennifer | Doe | 05/12/1980 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Jennifer | Poe | 05/12/1980 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-33-2222 | Jen | Doe | 05/12/1930 | 100001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | XX1-22-3338 | Jennie | Doe | 12/05/1980 | 500002 |

${ }^{1}$ The first 2 numbers of the $S S N$ are masked with ' $X X$ ' so a real $S S N$ is not used inadvertently in this example.

### 3.3.1 Match Based on SSN

There are 15 possible combinations of the SSN, birth date, last name, and first name fields when taken $1,2,3$, or 4 at a time. The SSN field is contained in 8 of those 15 combinations. ${ }^{7}$ Any matches that may occur between license and permit records from comparing any of these 8 combinations of fields in the data would arise from simply comparing the information in the SSN field because it is the 'lowest common denominator' of the 8 combinations. Therefore, license and permit records were initially matched based on the SSN field in order to expedite this process. Each potential match was reviewed and either accepted or rejected. A total of 26,654 individuals were found within both the 1988 through 2007 crewmember license data and the 1975 through 2007 permit data based on SSN.

In the example above, Jennie Doe-Smith from the CFEC permit data matches to Jennifer Doe from the ADF\&G crewmember license data because some of the crewmember records have the same SSN as the permit record. As such, all of the permits associated with CFEC file number 123456 are linked to all of the crewmember licenses associated with the assigned crewmember unique ID of 10001.

[^3]
### 3.3.2 Match Based on Birth Date, Last Name, and First Name

Records in the crewmember license data and permit data were next compared by the contents of their birth date, last name, and first name fields. This combination identified 2,699 individuals in both set of data.

### 3.3.3 Match Based on Birth Date and Last Name

Records in the crewmember license data and permit data were next compared by the contents of their birth date and last name fields. This combination identified 358 individuals in both set of data.

### 3.3.4 Match Based on Birth Date and First Name

Records in the crewmember license data and permit data were next compared by the contents of their birth date and first name fields. This combination identified 290 individuals in both set of data.

### 3.3.5 Match Based on Last Name and First Name

Records in the crewmember license data and permit data were next compared by the contents of their last name and first name fields. This combination identified 216 individuals in both set of data.

### 3.3.6 Match Based on Historic SSN

Records in the crewmember license data were compared by their SSN to records in the CFEC permit data by any historic SSN they may have used. No additional individuals were identified in both set of data through this method.

### 3.3.7 Match Based on Historic Last Name and First Name

Records in the crewmember license data were compared by their last name and first name to records in the CFEC permit data by any last names and first names they may have historically used. This combination identified 8 individuals in both set of data.

### 3.4 Correction of Unique Identifier Assignment

There were some situations where the match between permit data and license records facilitated a correction of the unique identifier assigned to crewmember license records. In most of these cases, the correction was a consolidation of license records under a single identification number. Oftentimes there was insufficient information on the license records themselves to allow a match to each other and the assignment of a single identification number. Then each of these records would match to a single permit holder record based on information in a different combination of fields. These independent matches to a single permit holder revealed enough information to suggest the license records did in fact represent the same individual. As a result, unique identification numbers were corrected.

Table 2 illustrates an example of this kind of situation. In this scenario, license records for John Doe and Jonathon Doe with 2 different identification numbers (200002 and 500004) matched to a single permit holder (Jonathon Doe with a CFEC file number of 987654). The two license records could not be matched to each other
based solely on the contents of their fields alone; the only field containing the same information is the last name field. However, both match to the same permit holder. The first license record matched based on SSN and last name. The second license record matched based on first name, last name, and birth date. Due to the independent matches to the permit data, and the similarity of first names, the crewmember identification number for the second license record was corrected to be the same as the first license record. Through a review of matches such as this, the assignment of the crewmember identification number was improved using permit holder data. The 181,056 unique identification numbers assigned to 533,219 valid commercial crewmember licenses reflect these corrections.

Table 2. A Fictitious Example of Matches to the CFEC Permit File that Identified Corrections to the Assigned Crewmember Identification Number

| CFEC Permit Data |  |  |  |  | Crewmember License Data Before ID Correction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSN ${ }^{1}$ | First Name | Last <br> Name | Birth Date | CFEC <br> File <br> Number | SSN ${ }^{1}$ | First Name | Last <br> Name | Birth Date | Assigned Crew ID Number |
| XX9-88-7777 | Jonathon | Doe | 05/05/1955 | 987654 | XX9-88-7777 | John Jonathon | Doe Doe | 05/05/1955 | $\begin{aligned} & 200002 \\ & 500004 \end{aligned}$ |
| CFEC Permit Data |  |  |  |  | Crewmember License Data After ID Correction |  |  |  |  |
| SSN ${ }^{1}$ | First <br> Name | Last <br> Name | Birth Date | CFEC <br> File <br> Number | SSN ${ }^{1}$ | First Name | Last Name | Birth Date | Assigned Crew ID Number |
| XX9-88-7777 | Jonathon | Doe | 05/05/1955 | 987654 | XX9-88-7777 | John Jonathon | Doe <br> Doe | 05/05/1955 | $\begin{aligned} & 200002 \\ & 200002 \end{aligned}$ |

[^4]
### 4.0 Permit Holders among Crewmember License Holders

It is a commonly held belief that some participants in commercial fishing begin as crewmembers then work their way up to holding permits and acting as skippers. This section attempts to address whether this is reflected in the crewmember license and permit data. Demographic characteristics of crewmember license holders like residency, region, census area, and rural/urban designation are also examined to see if different trends of license and permit holding emerge.

### 4.1 Frequency of Permit Holders among Crewmember License Holders

The 181,056 estimated crewmember license holders between 1988 and 2007 were compared to 66,873 commercial fishing permit holders between 1975 and 2007. Of those license holders, 30,225 held a commercial fishing permit ( $16.7 \%$ ). The remaining 150,831 license holders did not hold a permit ( $83.3 \%$ ). Table 3 indicates these overall numbers as well as the number of license holders in each year between 1988 and 2007 that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007. For example, there were 30,624 license holders in 1988 and $34.3 \%$ of them $(10,516)$ held a permit at some point between 1975 and 2007. The remaining 20,108 license holders in that year could not be linked with a permit (65.7\%).

Table 3. Crewmember License Holders that Have and Have Not Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by License Year

| Year | Crewmember License Holders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have Held a Permit |  | Have Not Held a Permit |  | Total |
| 1988 | 10,516 | 34.3\% | 20,108 | 65.7\% | 30,624 |
| 1989 | 10,752 | 34.3\% | 20,576 | 65.7\% | 31,328 |
| 1990 | 11,460 | 32.7\% | 23,555 | 67.3\% | 35,015 |
| 1991 | 11,136 | 31.7\% | 23,941 | 68.3\% | 35,077 |
| 1992 | 10,748 | 31.1\% | 23,799 | 68.9\% | 34,547 |
| 1993 | 10,049 | 31.8\% | 21,521 | 68.2\% | 31,570 |
| 1994 | 9,802 | 31.5\% | 21,321 | 68.5\% | 31,123 |
| 1995 | 9,286 | 31.5\% | 20,214 | 68.5\% | 29,500 |
| 1996 | 8,642 | 31.5\% | 18,809 | 68.5\% | 27,451 |
| 1997 | 7,935 | 30.1\% | 18,397 | 69.9\% | 26,332 |
| 1998 | 7,268 | 30.2\% | 16,827 | 69.8\% | 24,095 |
| 1999 | 7,090 | 29.6\% | 16,901 | 70.4\% | 23,991 |
| 2000 | 6,502 | 27.9\% | 16,783 | 72.1\% | 23,285 |
| 2001 | 5,141 | 26.1\% | 14,565 | 73.9\% | 19,706 |
| 2002 | 4,433 | 26.5\% | 12,298 | 73.5\% | 16,731 |
| 2003 | 4,526 | 25.9\% | 12,926 | 74.1\% | 17,452 |
| 2004 | 4,363 | 24.3\% | 13,572 | 75.7\% | 17,935 |
| 2005 | 4,108 | 22.8\% | 13,905 | 77.2\% | 18,013 |
| 2006 | 3,719 | 20.7\% | 14,243 | 79.3\% | 17,962 |
| 2007 | 3,438 | 18.2\% | 15,501 | 81.8\% | 18,939 |
| Total Unique | 30,225 (16.7\%) |  | 150,831 (83.3\%) |  | 181,056 |

Notes:

1. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
2. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 are included. The total number of crewmember license holders in a year may differ from other CFEC reports because of improvements/changes in the identification of unique individuals and the assignment of identification numbers.
3. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifiers).

Perhaps the most startling information revealed in Table 3 is the decline in the number and percentage of license holders that have held permits. In 1988, 13,516 or $34.3 \%$ of license holders held a permit at some point. By 2007 that number dropped to 3,438 or $18.2 \%$. Are fewer crewmembers working their way up to be skippers, or is this decline merely a reflection of data limitations? The absence of permit data in the analysis beyond 2007 certainly contributes to the decline in license holders with a permit, but it is possible that with fewer entry level opportunities left in commercial fishing and consolidation in numerous fisheries, the decline may reflect more than just the limitations of the data.

The effect of 2006 and 2007 permit data on the percentage of license holders with a permit was examined to get a feeling for how additional permit data may change the percentage of crewmember license holders with a permit. Not surprisingly, the addition of 2006 and 2007 permit data increased the overall number and percentage of license holders with a permit. For example, 29,098 unique crewmember license holders were associated with a commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2005. In 2006, an additional 558 crewmember license holders held a commercial fishing permit for the first time. This accounts for a $1.9 \%$ increase in license holders with a commercial fishing permit (from 29,098 to 29,656). Then in 2007, an additional 569 crewmember license holders held a commercial fishing permit for the first time. This accounts for another $1.9 \%$ increase in license holders with a commercial fishing permit (from 29,656 to 30,225). These overall totals are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. The Increase in Crewmember License Holders with CFEC Commercial Fishing Permits with the Addition of 2006 and 2007 Permit Data, by License Year

| License Year | Total License Holders | Held a Permit Between 1975 and 2005 |  | Increase with 2006 Permits |  | Held a Permit Between 1975 and 2006 |  | Increase with 2007 Permits |  | Held a Permit Between 1975 and 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number | Pct. |  |  | Number | Pct. |  |  |
| 1988 | 30,624 | 10,387 | 33.9\% | 61 | 0.2\% | 10,448 | 34.1\% | 68 | 0.2\% | 10,516 | 34.3\% |
| 1989 | 31,328 | 10,597 | 33.8\% | 81 | 0.3\% | 10,678 | 34.1\% | 74 | 0.2\% | 10,752 | 34.3\% |
| 1990 | 35,015 | 11,285 | 32.2\% | 89 | 0.3\% | 11,374 | 32.5\% | 86 | 0.2\% | 11,460 | 32.7\% |
| 1991 | 35,077 | 10,944 | 31.2\% | 108 | 0.3\% | 11,052 | 31.5\% | 84 | 0.2\% | 11,136 | 31.7\% |
| 1992 | 34,547 | 10,549 | 30.5\% | 100 | 0.3\% | 10,649 | 30.8\% | 99 | 0.3\% | 10,748 | 31.1\% |
| 1993 | 31,570 | 9,837 | 31.2\% | 110 | 0.3\% | 9,947 | 31.5\% | 102 | 0.3\% | 10,049 | 31.8\% |
| 1994 | 31,123 | 9,553 | 30.7\% | 122 | 0.4\% | 9,675 | 31.1\% | 127 | 0.4\% | 9,802 | 31.5\% |
| 1995 | 29,500 | 9,022 | 30.6\% | 138 | 0.5\% | 9,160 | 31.1\% | 126 | 0.4\% | 9,286 | 31.5\% |
| 1996 | 27,451 | 8,349 | 30.4\% | 153 | 0.6\% | 8,502 | 31.0\% | 140 | 0.5\% | 8,642 | 31.5\% |
| 1997 | 26,332 | 7,640 | 29.0\% | 155 | 0.6\% | 7,795 | 29.6\% | 140 | 0.5\% | 7,935 | 30.1\% |
| 1998 | 24,095 | 6,951 | 28.8\% | 169 | 0.7\% | 7,120 | 29.5\% | 148 | 0.7\% | 7,268 | 30.2\% |
| 1999 | 23,991 | 6,747 | 28.1\% | 191 | 0.8\% | 6,938 | 28.9\% | 152 | 0.7\% | 7,090 | 29.6\% |
| 2000 | 23,285 | 6,112 | 26.2\% | 211 | 0.9\% | 6,323 | 27.2\% | 179 | 0.7\% | 6,502 | 27.9\% |
| 2001 | 19,706 | 4,775 | 24.2\% | 193 | 1.0\% | 4,968 | 25.2\% | 173 | 0.9\% | 5,141 | 26.1\% |
| 2002 | 16,731 | 4,052 | 24.2\% | 207 | 1.2\% | 4,259 | 25.5\% | 174 | 1.0\% | 4,433 | 26.5\% |
| 2003 | 17,452 | 4,054 | 23.2\% | 253 | 1.4\% | 4,307 | 24.7\% | 219 | 1.2\% | 4,526 | 25.9\% |
| 2004 | 17,935 | 3,815 | 21.3\% | 300 | 1.7\% | 4,115 | 22.9\% | 248 | 1.4\% | 4,363 | 24.3\% |
| 2005 | 18,013 | 3,434 | 19.1\% | 346 | 1.9\% | 3,780 | 21.0\% | 328 | 1.8\% | 4,108 | 22.8\% |
| 2006 | 17,962 | 3,140 | 17.5\% | 200 | 1.1\% | 3,340 | 18.6\% | 379 | 2.1\% | 3,719 | 20.7\% |
| 2007 | 18,939 | 3,091 | 16.3\% | 147 | 0.8\% | 3,238 | 17.1\% | 200 | 1.1\% | 3,438 | 18.2\% |
| Total Unique | 181,056 | 29,098 | 6.1\%) |  |  | 29,656 | 6.4\%) |  |  | 30,225 | 6.7\%) |

[^5]Also shown in Table 4 is the number and percentage of crewmember license holders in each year that were attributed with a commercial fishing permit and that increased with the addition of 2006 and 2007 permit data. For example, 10,387 of the 30,624 license holders in 1988 held a permit at some point between 1975 and 2005 (33.9\%). In 2006, 61 of those license holders from 1988 held a permit for the first time. As a result, 10,448 of the 30,624 license holders in 1988 held a permit at some point between 1975 and 2006 (34.1\%). The addition of a single year of permit data resulted in a $0.2 \%$ increase in the percentage of crewmembers with a permit. The addition of that same year of permit data resulted in an increase of $1.9 \%$ for the 2005 license holders having a permit (346 license holders). In general, the increase of license holders with a permit was more pronounced in later years leading up to 2006, but increases are seen in each license year, even those occurring 18 years prior to the permit year added. ${ }^{8}$ This same pattern is seen with the addition of 2007 permit data. If this pattern holds, the addition of permit data for 2008, 2009, and onward, will likely temper the decrease seen in the percentage of crewmember license holders that go on to be permit holders. Future permit data, in as far off as 2025, may affect the percentage of license holders in 2007 who will eventually hold a commercial fishing permit.

### 4.2 Frequency of Permit Holders among Crewmember License Holders by Residency

A commonly held belief is that participants in commercial fishing begin as crewmembers then work they way up to holding permits and acting as skippers. The previous section began to address whether this holds up in the crewmember license and permit data. This section attempts to look at the same question but with the added facet of residency. Are resident crewmembers more likely to become skippers or permit holders than nonresident crewmembers? Because an individual's residency can change during the year and over the span of a career, simply labeling an individual as a resident or nonresident can be complicated in some situations. Appendix A contains a detailed explanation of how residency assignments used in this report were made for license holders.

### 4.2.1 License Career-Beginning Residency

Nearly half of all the license holders between 1988 and 2007 began their crewmember license career as an Alaskan resident ( 87,331 of 181,056 license holders). ${ }^{9}$ Yet nearly three quarters of the crewmembers that have held a permit began their license career as an Alaskan resident. A much higher percentage of resident license holders have held a commercial fishing permit than nonresident license holders. Table 5 indicates the number and percentage of license holders that have held a permit and those that have not, by their residency status at the beginning of their crewmember license career. Reading the table horizontally indicates the number and percentage of crewmember license holders with permits, without permits, and overall, that are classified as residents or nonresidents. For example, there are 30,225 license holders that have held a permit, and 21,784 of

[^6]them began their license career as an Alaskan resident (72.1\%) and 8,441 began as a nonresident (27.9\%). Reading the table vertically indicates the number and percentage of resident, nonresident, and overall crewmember license holders that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit. License holders that begin their license career as an Alaskan resident are almost 3-times more likely to be a permit holder than nonresidents. Table 5 indicates $24.9 \%$ of resident license holders have held a permit ( 21,784 of 87,331 resident license holders) whereas only $9.0 \%$ of nonresident license holders have ( 8,441 of 93,725 nonresident license holders).

Table 5. Crewmember License Holders with and without CFEC Permits, by License Career-Beginning Residency

| License Holder Permit Holding |  | Resident License Holders |  | Nonresident License Holders |  | Total License Holders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Crewmember License Holders | Number | 21,784 | 72.1\% | 8,441 | 27.9\% | 30,225 | 100.0\% |
| with Permit | Percent | 24.9\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 16.7\% |  |
| Crewmember License Holders | Number | 65,547 | 43.5\% | 85,284 | 56.5\% | 150,831 | 100.0\% |
| without Permit | Percent | 75.1\% |  | 91.0\% |  | 83.3\% |  |
| Total License Holders | Number | 87,331 | 48.2\% | 93,725 | 51.8\% | 181,056 | 100.0\% |
|  | Percent | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  |

Notes:

1. Residency reflects the license career-beginning residency of the license holder.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

### 4.2.2 License Career-End Residency

Because an individual's residency can change during the course of a year and over the span of a career, residency changes were examined for license holders. The intent was to see how sensitive the results in Table 5 are to the residency definitions chosen. Would different patterns of permit holding exist if residency labels were based on the end of a license holder's career? As it turns out, only $6.4 \%$ of all license holders have shown at least one change in residency over the course of their license holding career (11,509 of 181,056 license holders). Even fewer have a different residency at the end of their license career than at the beginning of their license career (4.6\%). There are 3,113 license holders that exhibit a residency change but revert back to their original residency by career end.

Table 6 indicates the number and percentage of license holders that held a permit and those that have not, by their residency status at the end of their license career. Residents exhibit a nearly $1 \%$ increase in each of the three categories: those with a crewmember license, those without a crewmember license, and total permit holders. However, the overall results are very similar to the results where residency is based on the beginning of the license career. Nearly three quarters of the crewmembers that have held a permit ended their license career as an Alaskan resident and license holders that end their career as a resident are more likely to hold a permit than a nonresident.

Table 6. Crewmember License Holders with and without CFEC Permits, by License Career-End Residency

| License Holder Permit Holding |  | Resident License Holders |  | Nonresident License Holders |  | Total License Holders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Crewmember License Holders | Number | 21,976 | 72.7\% | 8,249 | 27.3\% | 30,225 | 100.0\% |
| with Permit | Percent | 24.7\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 16.7\% |  |
| Crewmember License Holders | Number | 67,039 | 44.4\% | 83,792 | 55.6\% | 150,831 | 100.0\% |
| without Permit | Percent | 75.3\% |  | 91.0\% |  | 83.3\% |  |
| Total License Holders | Number | 89,015 | 49.2\% | 92,041 | 50.8\% | 181,056 | 100.0\% |
|  | Percent | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  |

Notes:

1. Residency reflects the license career-end residency of the license holder.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

### 4.3 Frequency of Permit Holders among Crewmember License Holders by Residency and Year

The percentage of license holders in each year that has held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007 has declined, reflecting data limitations and possibly fewer crewmembers working their way up to be skippers. Is this downward trend similar for both resident and nonresident license holders? Table 7 shows the number and percentage of crewmember license holders in each year that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007, but distinguishes between resident and nonresident license holders. For license holders of either residency, the number and percentage that has held a commercial fishing permit has declined. For residents, the proportion of license holders with a permit is fairly constant between 1988 and 1996, and the decline is seen from 1997 and onward. Nonresident license holders between 1988 and 1992 and then 1996 and onward exhibit the decline in permit holding. Again, these declines are likely related to data limiations.

Table 8 also examines the residency of crewmember license holders in each year that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007, but aggregates the categories differently. License holder totals reflect permit holding status rather than residency. As such, the percentages indicate the proportion each residency group contributes to license holders with a permit and to license holders without a permit. Aside from a small decline in the late 1980s, residents have comprised a fairly constant proportion of the crewmember license holders that have held a permit (roughly 71-74\%). After the first several license years shown here, residents also comprised a fairly consistent percentage of the crewmember license holders that have not held a permit (roughly 48-52\%).

Table 7. Resident and Nonresident License Holders that Have and Have not Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by Residency and the Years in which Crewmember Licenses were Held

| Year | Resident License Holders |  |  |  |  | Nonresident License Holders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have Held a Permit |  | Have Not Held a Permit |  | Total | Have Held a Permit |  | Have Not Held a Permit |  | Total |
| 1988 | 8,070 | 40.3\% | 11,936 | 59.7\% | 20,006 | 2,446 | 23.0\% | 8,172 | 77.0\% | 10,618 |
| 1989 | 8,196 | 40.8\% | 11,879 | 59.2\% | 20,075 | 2,556 | 22.7\% | 8,697 | 77.3\% | 11,253 |
| 1990 | 8,517 | 40.4\% | 12,584 | 59.6\% | 21,101 | 2,943 | 21.2\% | 10,971 | 78.8\% | 13,914 |
| 1991 | 8,236 | 40.1\% | 12,287 | 59.9\% | 20,523 | 2,900 | 19.9\% | 11,654 | 80.1\% | 14,554 |
| 1992 | 7,930 | 39.7\% | 12,022 | 60.3\% | 19,952 | 2,818 | 19.3\% | 11,777 | 80.7\% | 14,595 |
| 1993 | 7,380 | 40.3\% | 10,952 | 59.7\% | 18,332 | 2,669 | 20.2\% | 10,569 | 79.8\% | 13,238 |
| 1994 | 7,206 | 39.8\% | 10,883 | 60.2\% | 18,089 | 2,596 | 19.9\% | 10,438 | 80.1\% | 13,034 |
| 1995 | 6,687 | 40.2\% | 9,931 | 59.8\% | 16,618 | 2,599 | 20.2\% | 10,283 | 79.8\% | 12,882 |
| 1996 | 6,242 | 40.1\% | 9,321 | 59.9\% | 15,563 | 2,400 | 20.2\% | 9,488 | 79.8\% | 11,888 |
| 1997 | 5,745 | 39.4\% | 8,841 | 60.6\% | 14,586 | 2,190 | 18.6\% | 9,556 | 81.4\% | 11,746 |
| 1998 | 5,235 | 39.3\% | 8,095 | 60.7\% | 13,330 | 2,033 | 18.9\% | 8,732 | 81.1\% | 10,765 |
| 1999 | 5,233 | 38.2\% | 8,450 | 61.8\% | 13,683 | 1,857 | 18.0\% | 8,451 | 82.0\% | 10,308 |
| 2000 | 4,708 | 36.3\% | 8,263 | 63.7\% | 12,971 | 1,794 | 17.4\% | 8,520 | 82.6\% | 10,314 |
| 2001 | 3,704 | 34.4\% | 7,053 | 65.6\% | 10,757 | 1,437 | 16.1\% | 7,512 | 83.9\% | 8,949 |
| 2002 | 3,272 | 34.5\% | 6,204 | 65.5\% | 9,476 | 1,161 | 16.0\% | 6,094 | 84.0\% | 7,255 |
| 2003 | 3,252 | 33.0\% | 6,608 | 67.0\% | 9,860 | 1,274 | 16.8\% | 6,318 | 83.2\% | 7,592 |
| 2004 | 3,086 | 30.8\% | 6,933 | 69.2\% | 10,019 | 1,277 | 16.1\% | 6,639 | 83.9\% | 7,916 |
| 2005 | 2,934 | 28.9\% | 7,233 | 71.1\% | 10,167 | 1,174 | 15.0\% | 6,672 | 85.0\% | 7,846 |
| 2006 | 2,644 | 26.2\% | 7,440 | 73.8\% | 10,084 | 1,075 | 13.6\% | 6,803 | 86.4\% | 7,878 |
| 2007 | 2,459 | 24.0\% | 7,781 | 76.0\% | 10,240 | 979 | 11.3\% | 7,720 | 88.7\% | 8,699 |

Notes:

1. Residency reflects the license year-beginning residency of the license holder.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included. The total number of crewmember license holders in a year may differ from other CFEC reports because of improvements/changes in the identification of unique individuals and the assignment of identification
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

Table 8. The Residency of License Holders that Have and Have not Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by the Years in which Crewmember Licenses were Held

| Year | Crewmember License Holders with a Permit |  |  |  |  | Crewmember License Holders without a Permit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resident |  | Nonresident |  | Total | Resident |  | Nonresident |  | Total |
| 1988 | 8,070 | 76.7\% | 2,446 | 23.3\% | 10,516 | 11,936 | 59.4\% | 8,172 | 40.6\% | 20,108 |
| 1989 | 8,196 | 76.2\% | 2,556 | 23.8\% | 10,752 | 11,879 | 57.7\% | 8,697 | 42.3\% | 20,576 |
| 1990 | 8,517 | 74.3\% | 2,943 | 25.7\% | 11,460 | 12,584 | 53.4\% | 10,971 | 46.6\% | 23,555 |
| 1991 | 8,236 | 74.0\% | 2,900 | 26.0\% | 11,136 | 12,287 | 51.3\% | 11,654 | 48.7\% | 23,941 |
| 1992 | 7,930 | 73.8\% | 2,818 | 26.2\% | 10,748 | 12,022 | 50.5\% | 11,777 | 49.5\% | 23,799 |
| 1993 | 7,380 | 73.4\% | 2,669 | 26.6\% | 10,049 | 10,952 | 50.9\% | 10,569 | 49.1\% | 21,521 |
| 1994 | 7,206 | 73.5\% | 2,596 | 26.5\% | 9,802 | 10,883 | 51.0\% | 10,438 | 49.0\% | 21,321 |
| 1995 | 6,687 | 72.0\% | 2,599 | 28.0\% | 9,286 | 9,931 | 49.1\% | 10,283 | 50.9\% | 20,214 |
| 1996 | 6,242 | 72.2\% | 2,400 | 27.8\% | 8,642 | 9,321 | 49.6\% | 9,488 | 50.4\% | 18,809 |
| 1997 | 5,745 | 72.4\% | 2,190 | 27.6\% | 7,935 | 8,841 | 48.1\% | 9,556 | 51.9\% | 18,397 |
| 1998 | 5,235 | 72.0\% | 2,033 | 28.0\% | 7,268 | 8,095 | 48.1\% | 8,732 | 51.9\% | 16,827 |
| 1999 | 5,233 | 73.8\% | 1,857 | 26.2\% | 7,090 | 8,450 | 50.0\% | 8,451 | 50.0\% | 16,901 |
| 2000 | 4,708 | 72.4\% | 1,794 | 27.6\% | 6,502 | 8,263 | 49.2\% | 8,520 | 50.8\% | 16,783 |
| 2001 | 3,704 | 72.0\% | 1,437 | 28.0\% | 5,141 | 7,053 | 48.4\% | 7,512 | 51.6\% | 14,565 |
| 2002 | 3,272 | 73.8\% | 1,161 | 26.2\% | 4,433 | 6,204 | 50.4\% | 6,094 | 49.6\% | 12,298 |
| 2003 | 3,252 | 71.9\% | 1,274 | 28.1\% | 4,526 | 6,608 | 51.1\% | 6,318 | 48.9\% | 12,926 |
| 2004 | 3,086 | 70.7\% | 1,277 | 29.3\% | 4,363 | 6,933 | 51.1\% | 6,639 | 48.9\% | 13,572 |
| 2005 | 2,934 | 71.4\% | 1,174 | 28.6\% | 4,108 | 7,233 | 52.0\% | 6,672 | 48.0\% | 13,905 |
| 2006 | 2,644 | 71.1\% | 1,075 | 28.9\% | 3,719 | 7,440 | 52.2\% | 6,803 | 47.8\% | 14,243 |
| 2007 | 2,459 | 71.5\% | 979 | 28.5\% | 3,438 | 7,781 | 50.2\% | 7,720 | 49.8\% | 15,501 |

## Notes:

1. Residency reflects the license year-beginning residency of the license holder.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included. The total number of crewmember license holders in a year may differ from other CFEC reports because of improvements/changes in the identification of unique individuals and the assignment of identification numbers. 4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

### 4.4 Frequency of Permit Holders among Resident Crewmember License Holders by Region of Alaska

This section examines the permit holding patterns of crewmember license holders that were Alaskan residents, focusing on the region of the state where they reside. Figure 1 contains a map of Alaska, broken down into the 5 regions defined for this report: Arctic, Interior, South Central, Southeast, and Western. ${ }^{10}$ The census area or borough components for each region are also identified in the figure.

Not surprisingly, the South Central, Western, and Southeast regions are home to the vast majority of resident crewmember license holders. Combined, the three groups account for $94.3 \%$ of the resident license holders between 1988 and 2007 ( 82,348 license holders). The South Central region itself accounts for the largest number of resident license holders $(33,645)$. Table 9 indicates the total number of license holders between 1988 and 2007 from each region of the state.

Although the South Central region has the largest number of license holders, the Western region accounts for the largest group of resident license holders that have held a commercial fishing permit (8,742 license holders, $40.1 \%$ of resident license holders with permit, $28.9 \%$ of all license holders with permit). The 8,742 license holders with a permit reflect nearly one third of the total crewmember license holders from the Western region (31.9\% of 27,409 ). This ratio is the highest of any region within the state. The Southeast region is next with $25.3 \%$ of its license holders holding a permit. Table 9 indicates the number of crewmember license holders with and without a commercial fishing permit from each of the Alaska regions. Reading the table vertically indicates the proportion that license holders from each region make-up of each permit holding category: those that have held a permit, those that have not held a permit, and all crewmember license holders. Reading the table horizontally indicates the number and percentage of crewmember license holders from within each region that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit.

### 4.5 Frequency of Permit Holders among Resident Crewmember License Holders by Region and Year

From previous sections of this report it is clear that the number and percentage of license holders that have held a commercial fishing permit has declined, overall and for Alaska residents. Do residents from each region in the state follow this same trend? Tables 10a and 10b contain the total number of crewmember license holders from each region of the state for each year between 1988 and 2007. In each year, the Western region contributes the largest group of license holders, the South Central contributes the second largest group and the Southeast region the third largest group of license holders. Between 1988 and 2007, each region of the state showed a marked decline in the total number of crewmember license holders from the region. The South Central region, which represents the largest overall group of resident license holders, showed a decrease of 4,444 licenses between 1990 its highest year and 2002 its lowest year. The Arctic region, which contributes the smallest group of resident license holders, showed a $98.4 \%$ decline in the number of license holders between 1988 and 2002.

[^7]Table 9. Crewmember License Holders with and without CFEC Permits, by Alaska Region

| Career-Beginning Alaska Region |  | License Holders with Permits |  | License Holders without Permits |  | Total License Holders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Arctic | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 252 \\ 0.8 \% \end{array}$ | 21.9\% | $\begin{array}{r} 898 \\ 0.6 \% \end{array}$ | 78.1\% | $\begin{gathered} 1,150 \\ 0.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Interior | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 457 \\ 1.5 \% \end{array}$ | 16.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 2,256 \\ 1.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | 83.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 2,713 \\ 1.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| South Central | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 6,809 \\ 22.5 \% \end{array}$ | 20.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 26,836 \\ 17.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | 79.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 33,645 \\ 18.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Southeast | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 5,377 \\ 17.8 \% \end{array}$ | 25.3\% | $\begin{gathered} 15,917 \\ 10.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 74.7\% | $\begin{gathered} 21,294 \\ 11.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Western | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 8,742 \\ 28.9 \% \end{array}$ | 31.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 18,667 \\ 12.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | 68.1\% | $\begin{gathered} 27,409 \\ 15.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Unknown | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 147 \\ 0.5 \% \end{array}$ | 13.1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 973 \\ 0.6 \% \end{array}$ | 86.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 1,120 \\ 0.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Total Resident | Number Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 21,784 \\ & 72.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 24.9\% | $\begin{array}{r} 65,547 \\ 43.5 \% \end{array}$ | 75.1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 87,331 \\ 48.2 \% \end{array}$ | 100.0\% |
| Total Nonresident | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 8,441 \\ 27.9 \% \end{array}$ | 9.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85,284 \\ 56.5 \% \end{array}$ | 91.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 93,725 \\ 51.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Total License Holders | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 30,225 \\ \text { 100.0\% } \end{array}$ | 16.7\% | $\begin{array}{r} 150,831 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | 83.3\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { 181,056 } \\ \text { 100.0\% } \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |

Notes:

1. The Alaska Region reflects the license career-beginning residency, license career-beginning census information, and license career-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown Region indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license career-beginning, but their
license career-beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area and region could not be assigned. Only Alaska
residents were assigned a region.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with
assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file.
 were Held

Resident Crewmember License Holders by Region

| Year | Arctic With a Permit |  | Arctic Without a Permit |  | Total Arctic$432$ | Interior With a Permit |  | Interior Without a Permit |  | Total Interior <br> 641 | Southeast With a Permit |  | Southeast Without a Permit |  | Total <br> Southeast4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 135 | 31.3\% | 297 | 68.8\% |  | 156 | 24.3\% | 485 | 75.7\% |  | 1,746 | 39.2\% | 2,706 | 60.8\% |  |
| 1989 | 85 | 27.8\% | 221 | 72.2\% | 306 | 152 | 23.3\% | 499 | 76.7\% | 651 | 1,996 | 40.9\% | 2,887 | 59.1\% | 4,883 |
| 1990 | 81 | 29.6\% | 193 | 70.4\% | 274 | 126 | 23.3\% | 415 | 76.7\% | 541 | 2,013 | 41.0\% | 2,895 | 59.0\% | 4,908 |
| 1991 | 66 | 25.4\% | 194 | 74.6\% | 260 | 127 | 24.9\% | 384 | 75.1\% | 511 | 1,978 | 39.5\% | 3,033 | 60.5\% | 5,011 |
| 1992 | 66 | 25.2\% | 196 | 74.8\% | 262 | 129 | 24.8\% | 391 | 75.2\% | 520 | 1,848 | 39.4\% | 2,842 | 60.6\% | 4,690 |
| 1993 | 55 | 31.4\% | 120 | 68.6\% | 175 | 109 | 25.1\% | 325 | 74.9\% | 434 | 1,705 | 38.5\% | 2,722 | 61.5\% | 4,427 |
| 1994 | 48 | 28.7\% | 119 | 71.3\% | 167 | 116 | 27.8\% | 302 | 72.2\% | 418 | 1,720 | 38.7\% | 2,723 | 61.3\% | 4,443 |
| 1995 | 37 | 27.8\% | 96 | 72.2\% | 133 | 90 | 23.0\% | 301 | 77.0\% | 391 | 1,424 | 39.7\% | 2,160 | 60.3\% | 3,584 |
| 1996 | 18 | 24.7\% | 55 | 75.3\% | 73 | 75 | 18.8\% | 325 | 81.3\% | 400 | 1,399 | 40.8\% | 2,034 | 59.2\% | 3,433 |
| 1997 | 26 | 24.5\% | 80 | 75.5\% | 106 | 50 | 24.2\% | 157 | 75.8\% | 207 | 1,298 | 39.2\% | 2,014 | 60.8\% | 3,312 |
| 1998 | 17 | 23.6\% | 55 | 76.4\% | 72 | 38 | 27.0\% | 103 | 73.0\% | 141 | 1,231 | 39.5\% | 1,882 | 60.5\% | 3,113 |
| 1999 | 26 | 27.4\% | 69 | 72.6\% | 95 | 51 | 31.1\% | 113 | 68.9\% | 164 | 1,208 | 38.4\% | 1,934 | 61.6\% | 3,142 |
| 2000 | 25 | 22.7\% | 85 | 77.3\% | 110 | 40 | 28.0\% | 103 | 72.0\% | 143 | 1,047 | 35.6\% | 1,890 | 64.4\% | 2,937 |
| 2001 | 22 | 19.8\% | 89 | 80.2\% | 111 | 41 | 33.6\% | 81 | 66.4\% | 122 | 935 | 33.3\% | 1,876 | 66.7\% | 2,811 |
| 2002 | 3 | 42.9\% | 4 | 57.1\% | 7 | 38 | 38.0\% | 62 | 62.0\% | 100 | 847 | 33.9\% | 1,653 | 66.1\% | 2,500 |
| 2003 | 3 | 37.5\% | 5 | 62.5\% | 8 | 36 | 31.6\% | 78 | 68.4\% | 114 | 747 | 31.5\% | 1,621 | 68.5\% | 2,368 |
| 2004 | 20 | 28.2\% | 51 | 71.8\% | 71 | 31 | 30.4\% | 71 | 69.6\% | 102 | 783 | 30.8\% | 1,761 | 69.2\% | 2,544 |
| 2005 | 16 | 24.2\% | 50 | 75.8\% | 66 | 36 | 35.3\% | 66 | 64.7\% | 102 | 716 | 28.5\% | 1,795 | 71.5\% | 2,511 |
| 2006 | 17 | 23.6\% | 55 | 76.4\% | 72 | 22 | 20.8\% | 84 | 79.2\% | 106 | 677 | 26.1\% | 1,918 | 73.9\% | 2,595 |
| 2007 | 13 | 20.0\% | 52 | 80.0\% | 65 | 31 | 22.5\% | 107 | 77.5\% | 138 | 620 | 23.3\% | 2,042 | 76.7\% | 2,662 |

## Notes:


 could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a region.
 holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
 2000 Census file.

Table 10b. Resident License Holders that Have or Have Not Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by Region and Years in which Crewmember Licenses were Held (cont'd)

| Year | Resident Crewmember License Holders by Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | South With | Central Permit | South Central Without a Permit |  | Total South Central | Western With a Permit |  | Western Without a Permit |  | Total Western | Unknown With a Permit |  | Unknown Without a Permit |  | Total Unknown |
| 1988 | 2,400 | 34.4\% | 4,582 | 65.6\% | 6,982 | 3,588 | 48.9\% | 3,744 | 51.1\% | 7,332 | 45 | 26.9\% | 122 | 73.1\% | 167 |
| 1989 | 2,353 | 35.9\% | 4,207 | 64.1\% | 6,560 | 3,558 | 47.6\% | 3,915 | 52.4\% | 7,473 | 52 | 25.7\% | 150 | 74.3\% | 202 |
| 1990 | 2,602 | 35.1\% | 4,817 | 64.9\% | 7,419 | 3,637 | 47.1\% | 4,088 | 52.9\% | 7,725 | 58 | 24.8\% | 176 | 75.2\% | 234 |
| 1991 | 2,468 | 35.8\% | 4,419 | 64.2\% | 6,887 | 3,554 | 46.5\% | 4,082 | 53.5\% | 7,636 | 43 | 19.7\% | 175 | 80.3\% | 218 |
| 1992 | 2,407 | 35.1\% | 4,454 | 64.9\% | 6,861 | 3,421 | 46.4\% | 3,956 | 53.6\% | 7,377 | 59 | 24.4\% | 183 | 75.6\% | 242 |
| 1993 | 2,108 | 35.5\% | 3,838 | 64.5\% | 5,946 | 3,352 | 46.9\% | 3,791 | 53.1\% | 7,143 | 51 | 24.6\% | 156 | 75.4\% | 207 |
| 1994 | 2,019 | 35.0\% | 3,753 | 65.0\% | 5,772 | 3,266 | 45.8\% | 3,859 | 54.2\% | 7,125 | 37 | 22.6\% | 127 | 77.4\% | 164 |
| 1995 | 1,862 | 35.5\% | 3,381 | 64.5\% | 5,243 | 3,241 | 45.6\% | 3,863 | 54.4\% | 7,104 | 33 | 20.2\% | 130 | 79.8\% | 163 |
| 1996 | 1,696 | 35.1\% | 3,133 | 64.9\% | 4,829 | 3,019 | 45.0\% | 3,694 | 55.0\% | 6,713 | 35 | 30.4\% | 80 | 69.6\% | 115 |
| 1997 | 1,631 | 34.9\% | 3,048 | 65.1\% | 4,679 | 2,722 | 43.9\% | 3,482 | 56.1\% | 6,204 | 18 | 23.1\% | 60 | 76.9\% | 78 |
| 1998 | 1,400 | 35.0\% | 2,603 | 65.0\% | 4,003 | 2,446 | 42.9\% | 3,256 | 57.1\% | 5,702 | 103 | 34.4\% | 196 | 65.6\% | 299 |
| 1999 | 1,382 | 33.1\% | 2,793 | 66.9\% | 4,175 | 2,547 | 42.3\% | 3,474 | 57.7\% | 6,021 | 19 | 22.1\% | 67 | 77.9\% | 86 |
| 2000 | 1,289 | 31.4\% | 2,821 | 68.6\% | 4,110 | 2,286 | 40.9\% | 3,310 | 59.1\% | 5,596 | 21 | 28.0\% | 54 | 72.0\% | 75 |
| 2001 | 1,045 | 29.4\% | 2,505 | 70.6\% | 3,550 | 1,652 | 40.2\% | 2,457 | 59.8\% | 4,109 | 9 | 16.7\% | 45 | 83.3\% | 54 |
| 2002 | 900 | 30.3\% | 2,075 | 69.7\% | 2,975 | 1,467 | 38.3\% | 2,365 | 61.7\% | 3,832 | 17 | 27.4\% | 45 | 72.6\% | 62 |
| 2003 | 960 | 29.5\% | 2,295 | 70.5\% | 3,255 | 1,495 | 37.0\% | 2,551 | 63.0\% | 4,046 | 11 | 15.9\% | 58 | 84.1\% | 69 |
| 2004 | 877 | 26.0\% | 2,496 | 74.0\% | 3,373 | 1,369 | 35.3\% | 2,510 | 64.7\% | 3,879 | 6 | 12.0\% | 44 | 88.0\% | 50 |
| 2005 | 823 | 24.6\% | 2,525 | 75.4\% | 3,348 | 1,329 | 32.6\% | 2,751 | 67.4\% | 4,080 | 14 | 23.3\% | 46 | 76.7\% | 60 |
| 2006 | 718 | 22.3\% | 2,500 | 77.7\% | 3,218 | 1,197 | 29.7\% | 2,833 | 70.3\% | 4,030 | 13 | 20.6\% | 50 | 79.4\% | 63 |
| 2007 | 680 | 20.1\% | 2,700 | 79.9\% | 3,380 | 1,105 | 28.3\% | 2,798 | 71.7\% | 3,903 | 10 | 10.9\% | 82 | 89.1\% | 92 |

Notes:

1. The Alaska Region reflects the license year-beginning residency, license year-beginning census information, and license year-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown Region indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license year-beginning, but their license year-beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area and region could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a region.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's

2000 Census file.

The number and percentage of license holders that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit are also shown in Tables 10a and 10b for each region and year. In each year, the Western region has the largest number of license holders with a permit. In most years, the Western region also has the highest percentage of license holders that have held a permit. For example, 3,588 of the 7,332 license holders from the Western region in 1988 have held a permit (48.9\%). This is the largest group of license holders from a region in 1988 that has held a permit and it is the highest percentage of license holders from a region in that year to have held a permit. Only 1,746 or $39.2 \%$ of the license holders from the Southeast region in that year held a permit, and only 2,400 or $34.4 \%$ from the South Central region have. In only 3 years between 1988 and 2007 does the Western region not have the highest percentage license holders with permit holdings; in 2002 and 2003 the Arctic region has a higher percentage and in 2005 the Interior region does. Although the South Central region has more license holders in each year that have held a permit than the Southeast region, a higher percentage of Southeast license holders in each year have held a commercial fishing permit.

Over the time period covered in this table, the Western, South Central, and Southeast regions each exhibit a steady decrease in the percentage of license holders that have held a commercial fishing permit. This follows the same trend of all license holders combined and license holders when categorized as residents or nonresidents. Whether the decline is due simply to data constraints or also to a real trend is unclear. Residents of the Interior and Arctic regions exhibit a different pattern, however. Residents of the Arctic exhibit a decline in the percentage of license holders within a year that have held a permit between 1988 and 2001. In 2002 there was a dramatic increase in the percentage of Arctic license holders with a permit. However, this large percentage jump coincides with a severe drop in the number of license holders (111 to 7). As the number of Arctic license holders has rebounded, the percentage with a permit has again decreased from year to year. In recent years with the exception of 2006 and 2007, residents of the Interior had a rebound in the percentage of license holders within a year that have held a permit.

Table 11 also examines resident crewmember license holders in each year that have held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007, but aggregates the information differently than Tables 10a and 10b. The table only looks at license holders that have held a permit and the annual totals reflect that permit holding status rather than regional totals. As such, the percentages indicate the proportion each region contributes to resident license holders with a permit. Nearly half of the resident crewmember license holders in each year that has held a commercial fishing permit resides in Western Alaska. In each year the second and third largest percentage of license holders with a permit reside in the South Central and Southeast regions, respectively. The contribution from each region has been relatively consistent over the 20 years. The Western region's contribution has fluctuated at most $6.0 \%$ a year, South Central and Southeast by less than $5 \%$ a year, and the Arctic and Interior by less than $2 \%$ a year.

### 4.6 Frequency of Permit Holders among Resident Crewmember License Holders by Census Area

The previous sections examine crewmember license and commercial fishing permit holding patterns across regions of the state of Alaska. This section provides additional detail as license holder information for each census area within a region is summarized. ${ }^{11}$ Figure 1 illustrates the census area and boroughs found in each region of the state.

[^8]Table 11. The Region of Resident License Holders that Have Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by the Years in which Crewmember Licenses were Held

| Year | Resident Crewmember License Holders with a Permit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arctic |  | Interior |  | Southeast |  | South Central |  | Western |  | Unknown |  | Total |
| 1988 | 135 | 1.7\% | 156 | 1.9\% | 1,746 | 21.6\% | 2,400 | 29.7\% | 3,588 | 44.5\% | 45 | 0.6\% | 8,070 |
| 1989 | 85 | 1.0\% | 152 | 1.9\% | 1,996 | 24.4\% | 2,353 | 28.7\% | 3,558 | 43.4\% | 52 | 0.6\% | 8,196 |
| 1990 | 81 | 1.0\% | 126 | 1.5\% | 2,013 | 23.6\% | 2,602 | 30.6\% | 3,637 | 42.7\% | 58 | 0.7\% | 8,517 |
| 1991 | 66 | 0.8\% | 127 | 1.5\% | 1,978 | 24.0\% | 2,468 | 30.0\% | 3,554 | 43.2\% | 43 | 0.5\% | 8,236 |
| 1992 | 66 | 0.8\% | 129 | 1.6\% | 1,848 | 23.3\% | 2,407 | 30.4\% | 3,421 | 43.1\% | 59 | 0.7\% | 7,930 |
| 1993 | 55 | 0.7\% | 109 | 1.5\% | 1,705 | 23.1\% | 2,108 | 28.6\% | 3,352 | 45.4\% | 51 | 0.7\% | 7,380 |
| 1994 | 48 | 0.7\% | 116 | 1.6\% | 1,720 | 23.9\% | 2,019 | 28.0\% | 3,266 | 45.3\% | 37 | 0.5\% | 7,206 |
| 1995 | 37 | 0.6\% | 90 | 1.3\% | 1,424 | 21.3\% | 1,862 | 27.8\% | 3,241 | 48.5\% | 33 | 0.5\% | 6,687 |
| 1996 | 18 | 0.3\% | 75 | 1.2\% | 1,399 | 22.4\% | 1,696 | 27.2\% | 3,019 | 48.4\% | 35 | 0.6\% | 6,242 |
| 1997 | 26 | 0.5\% | 50 | 0.9\% | 1,298 | 22.6\% | 1,631 | 28.4\% | 2,722 | 47.4\% | 18 | 0.3\% | 5,745 |
| 1998 | 17 | 0.3\% | 38 | 0.7\% | 1,231 | 23.5\% | 1,400 | 26.7\% | 2,446 | 46.7\% | 103 | 2.0\% | 5,235 |
| 1999 | 26 | 0.5\% | 51 | 1.0\% | 1,208 | 23.1\% | 1,382 | 26.4\% | 2,547 | 48.7\% | 19 | 0.4\% | 5,233 |
| 2000 | 25 | 0.5\% | 40 | 0.8\% | 1,047 | 22.2\% | 1,289 | 27.4\% | 2,286 | 48.6\% | 21 | 0.4\% | 4,708 |
| 2001 | 22 | 0.6\% | 41 | 1.1\% | 935 | 25.2\% | 1,045 | 28.2\% | 1,652 | 44.6\% | 9 | 0.2\% | 3,704 |
| 2002 | 3 | 0.1\% | 38 | 1.2\% | 847 | 25.9\% | 900 | 27.5\% | 1,467 | 44.8\% | 17 | 0.5\% | 3,272 |
| 2003 | 3 | 0.1\% | 36 | 1.1\% | 747 | 23.0\% | 960 | 29.5\% | 1,495 | 46.0\% | 11 | 0.3\% | 3,252 |
| 2004 | 20 | 0.6\% | 31 | 1.0\% | 783 | 25.4\% | 877 | 28.4\% | 1,369 | 44.4\% | 6 | 0.2\% | 3,086 |
| 2005 | 16 | 0.5\% | 36 | 1.2\% | 716 | 24.4\% | 823 | 28.1\% | 1,329 | 45.3\% | 14 | 0.5\% | 2,934 |
| 2006 | 17 | 0.6\% | 22 | 0.8\% | 677 | 25.6\% | 718 | 27.2\% | 1,197 | 45.3\% | 13 | 0.5\% | 2,644 |
| 2007 | 13 | 0.5\% | 31 | 1.3\% | 620 | 25.2\% | 680 | 27.7\% | 1,105 | 44.9\% | 10 | 0.4\% | 2,459 |

Notes:

1. The Alaska Region reflects the license year-beginning residency, license year-beginning census information, and license year-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown

Region indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license year-beginning, but their license year-beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area and region could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a region.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients).

Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file.

Table 12 indicates the number of crewmember license holders with and without a commercial fishing permit from each of the Alaskan census areas. Subtotals are provided for each of the Alaska regions as well as for Alaska residents and nonresidents. Within the South Central region, which had the largest number of license holders, the Kenai Peninsula Borough had the largest number of overall license holders $(15,146)$ followed closely by the Anchorage Municipality $(11,941)$. In the region, the Valdez-Cordova census area exhibited the highest percentage of license holders with a permit (27.6\%).

Within the Western region, which had the largest group of resident license holders that have held a commercial fishing permit, the Kodiak Island Borough contributed the largest number of overall license holders $(7,031)$, the Bethel Census Area had the largest number of license holders with a permit $(2,166)$, and the Dillingham census area had the highest percentage of license holders with a permit (42.2\%).

Statewide, the Kenai Peninsula Borough in the South Central region had the largest number of license holders with a permit $(3,431)$. The Yakutat City and Borough in the Southeast Region had the highest percentage of license holders with a permit (49.7\%). Statewide, the Fairbanks North Star Borough in the Interior Region had the highest percentage of license holders that have not held a commercial fishing permit (85.6\%).

### 4.7 Frequency of Permit Holders among Resident Crewmember License Holders by Census Area and Year

Between 1988 and 2007, each region of the state showed a marked decline in the total number of crewmember license holders. That decline is reflected in each census area across the state. The extent of the decline varies between census areas, however. In some of the census areas, where the total number of license holders each year has been fairly small, the decrease is also small. But in other census areas the drop in the number of license holders has been dramatic. For example, in the Kenai Peninsula Borough there were 3,484 license holders in 1990 but only 1,486 in 2006. That is a drop of nearly 2,000 license holders in 16 years. Because of the large size of the table, this summary information can be found in Appendix B at the end of this report.

Appendix B also indicates, by year, the number of license holders in each census area across the state that has or has not held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007. The number of license holders with a commercial fishing permit has declined in all census areas, except those census areas with a very small numbers of license holders with permits (North Slope Borough, Denali Borough, and Southeast Fairbanks Census Area). The percentage of license holders from each census area that have held a permit decreases over time in all of the regions of the state but the Arctic and the Interior.

Appendix C also examines resident crewmember license holders in each year that have held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007, but aggregates the information differently than Appendix B. The table only looks at license holders that have held a permit and the annual totals reflect that permit holding status rather than census area totals. As such, the percentages indicate the proportion each census area contributes to resident license holders with a permit. Over time, the Kenai Peninsula Borough has been home to the largest percentage of resident license holders with a commercial fishing permit. The Sitka City and Borough, Aleutians East Borough, and the Dillingham Census Area contribute an increasing percentage of resident license holders with commercial fishing permit holdings.

Table 12. Crewmember License Holders with and without CFEC Permits, by Alaska Region and Census Area

| Career-Beginning Alaska Region and Census Area | License Holders with Permits |  | License Holders Without Permits |  | Total License Holders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| Arctic | 252 | 21.9\% | 898 | 78.1\% | 1,150 |
| North Slope Borough | 11 | 18.3\% | 49 | 81.7\% | 60 |
| Northwest Arctic Borough | 241 | 22.1\% | 849 | 77.9\% | 1,090 |
| Interior | 457 | 16.8\% | 2,256 | 83.2\% | 2,713 |
| Denali Borough | 11 | 15.5\% | 60 | 84.5\% | 71 |
| Fairbanks North Star Bor. | 213 | 14.4\% | 1,263 | 85.6\% | 1,476 |
| Southeast Fairbanks CA | 27 | 14.8\% | 155 | 85.2\% | 182 |
| Yukon-Koyukuk CA | 206 | 20.9\% | 778 | 79.1\% | 984 |
| South Central | 6,809 | 20.2\% | 26,836 | 79.8\% | 33,645 |
| Anchorage Municipality | 1,936 | 16.2\% | 10,005 | 83.8\% | 11,941 |
| Kenai Peninsula Borough | 3,431 | 22.7\% | 11,715 | 77.3\% | 15,146 |
| Matanuska-Susitna Bor. | 505 | 16.0\% | 2,661 | 84.0\% | 3,166 |
| Valdez-Cordova CA | 937 | 27.6\% | 2,455 | 72.4\% | 3,392 |
| Southeast | 5,377 | 25.3\% | 15,917 | 74.7\% | 21,294 |
| Haines Borough | 198 | 19.2\% | 835 | 80.8\% | 1,033 |
| Juneau City and Borough | 894 | 21.4\% | 3,284 | 78.6\% | 4,178 |
| Ketchikan Gateway Bor. | 782 | 21.7\% | 2,826 | 78.3\% | 3,608 |
| Pr. Wales - Outer Ketch. CA | 644 | 27.2\% | 1,724 | 72.8\% | 2,368 |
| Sitka City and Borough | 957 | 25.4\% | 2,813 | 74.6\% | 3,770 |
| Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon CA | 415 | 28.0\% | 1,068 | 72.0\% | 1,483 |
| Wrangell-Petersburg CA | 1,242 | 28.5\% | 3,119 | 71.5\% | 4,361 |
| Yakutat City and Borough | 245 | 49.7\% | 248 | 50.3\% | 493 |
| Western | 8,742 | 31.9\% | 18,667 | 68.1\% | 27,409 |
| Aleutians East Borough | 407 | 30.3\% | 938 | 69.7\% | 1,345 |
| Aleutian West CA | 312 | 20.0\% | 1,248 | 80.0\% | 1,560 |
| Bethel CA | 2,166 | 34.9\% | 4,036 | 65.1\% | 6,202 |
| Bristol Bay Borough | 422 | 40.7\% | 614 | 59.3\% | 1,036 |
| Dillingham CA | 1,415 | 42.2\% | 1,937 | 57.8\% | 3,352 |
| Kodiak Island Borough | 1,812 | 25.8\% | 5,219 | 74.2\% | 7,031 |
| Lake and Peninsula Bor. | 494 | 33.7\% | 973 | 66.3\% | 1,467 |
| Nome CA | 525 | 31.9\% | 1,122 | 68.1\% | 1,647 |
| Wade Hampton CA | 1,189 | 31.5\% | 2,580 | 68.5\% | 3,769 |
| Unknown | 147 | 13.1\% | 973 | 86.9\% | 1,120 |
| Total Resident | 21,784 | 24.9\% | 65,547 | 75.1\% | 87,331 |
| Total Nonresident | 8,441 | 9.0\% | 85,284 | 91.0\% | 93,725 |
| Total License Holders | 30,225 | 16.7\% | 150,831 | 83.3\% | 181,056 |

## Notes:

1. The Alaska region and census area reflect the license career-beginning residency and license career-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown Region indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license career-beginning, but their license career-beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area and region could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a census area or region.
2. CA stands for Census Area.
3. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
4. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file.

### 4.8 Frequency of Permit Holders among Resident Crewmember License Holders by Rural/Urban Designation

This section summarizes the permit holding pattern of crewmember license holders from communities with rural or urban designations. The community that an individual resides in can change between years and across the span of a career, so the rural or urban classification associated with an individual can also change. ${ }^{12}$ The analysis in this section reflects the rural or urban designation for the license career beginning community for each individual.

Table 13 indicates the number of crewmember license holders with and without a commercial fishing permit that are from rural or urban communities. Reading the table vertically indicates the proportion that license holders from rural and urban communities make-up of each permit holding category: those that have held a permit, those that have not held a permit, and all crewmember license holders. Just over half of the crewmember license holders that began their license career as an Alaskan resident are from communities designated as urban in the 2000 Census ( 45,783 license holders, $52.4 \%$ of residents, $25.3 \%$ overall). However, more license holders with permits are from rural communities ( 12,123 license holders, $55.7 \%$ of residents, $40.1 \%$ overall) than urban communities ( $43.7 \%$, 9,514 of 87,831 license holders).

Reading Table 13 horizontally indicates the number and percentage of crewmember license holders from rural and urban communities that have or have not held a commercial fishing permit. License holders that begin their license career in rural communities are more likely to be permit holders than those from urban communities (30.0\% vs. 20.8\%, respectively).

### 4.9 Frequency of Permit Holders among Resident License Holders by Rural/Urban Designation and Year

Table 14 indicates the number of rural or urban license holders by year, and the number and percentage of each which have or have not held a commercial fishing permit. Not surprisingly, the trend of declining license holders is seen for both rural and urban residents of Alaska. Since 2002, however, the total numbers of rural and urban license holders appear to have stabilized somewhat. Following a low of 5,132 rural license holders in 2002, the number has hovered in the low to mid-5,000s. Although this is a far cry from the high of 10,449 rural license holders in 1990, the number has not dropped any lower since 2002.

Although the number of rural license holders has stabilized in recent years, the number of those that have held a permit has declined and continued to decline even after 2002. This may simply be due to data constraints as permit data for 2008 and onward are not included in the analysis. Regardless of the decline, a slightly higher percentage of rural license holders in each year have held a permit than urban license holders. Not only are rural license holders more likely to hold a commercial fishing permit than urban license holders, this has remained true over 20 years of license history.

Table 15 also examines resident crewmember license holders in each year that have held a commercial fishing permit at some point between 1975 and 2007, but aggregates the information differently than Table 14. License holder totals reflect permit holding status rather than rural/urban designation. As such, the percentages indicate the proportion each rural/urban group contributes to license holders with a permit and to license holders without a permit. Rural residents in each year have comprised a fairly constant proportion of the crewmember license holders that have held a permit (roughly 56-62\%); each year the majority of license holders who have held a permit are rural residents. Over time, rural residents have provided an increasing percentage of the license holders that have not held a permit (roughly 44-55\%).

[^9]Table 13. Crewmember License Holders with and without CFEC Permits, by Rural or Urban Designation

| Rural or Urban Designation |  | License Holders with Permit |  | License Holders without Permits |  | Total License Holders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Rural | Number Percent | $\begin{gathered} 12,123 \\ 40.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | 30.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 28,305 \\ 18.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | 70.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 40,428 \\ 22.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Urban | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 9,514 \\ 31.5 \% \end{array}$ | 20.8\% | $\begin{array}{r} 36,269 \\ 24.0 \% \end{array}$ | 79.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 45,783 \\ 25.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Unknown | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 147 \\ 0.5 \% \end{array}$ | 13.1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 973 \\ 0.6 \% \end{array}$ | 86.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 1,120 \\ 0.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Resident Total | Number Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 21,784 \\ & 72.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 24.9\% | $\begin{array}{r} 65,547 \\ 43.5 \% \end{array}$ | 75.1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 87,331 \\ 48.2 \% \end{array}$ | 100.0\% |
| Nonresident Total | Number Percent | $\begin{gathered} 8,441 \\ 27.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | 9.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 85,284 \\ 56.5 \% \end{array}$ | 91.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 93,725 \\ 51.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |
| Total Permit Holders | Number Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 30,225 \\ \text { 100.0\% } \end{array}$ | 16.7\% | $\begin{gathered} 150,831 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 83.3\% | $\begin{gathered} 181,056 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% |

Notes:

1. Rural and urban designations reflect the license career-beginning residency and license career-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown designation indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license career-beginning, but their license careerbeginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area and rural/urban designation could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a rural, urban, or unknown designation.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 US Census file.

Table 14. Resident Rural and Urban License Holders that Have and Have not Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by Rural/Urban Designation and the Years in which Crewmember Licenses were Held

|  | Resident Rural License Holders |  |  |  |  | Resident Urban License Holders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Have Held a Permit |  | Have Not Held a Permit |  | Total | Have Held a Permit |  | Have Not Held a Permit |  | Total |
| 1988 | 4,591 | 46.6\% | 5,260 | 53.4\% | 9,851 | 3,434 | 34.4\% | 6,554 | 65.6\% | 9,988 |
| 1989 | 4,652 | 46.2\% | 5,408 | 53.8\% | 10,060 | 3,492 | 35.6\% | 6,321 | 64.4\% | 9,813 |
| 1990 | 4,795 | 45.9\% | 5,654 | 54.1\% | 10,449 | 3,664 | 35.2\% | 6,754 | 64.8\% | 10,418 |
| 1991 | 4,605 | 45.4\% | 5,546 | 54.6\% | 10,151 | 3,588 | 35.3\% | 6,566 | 64.7\% | 10,154 |
| 1992 | 4,478 | 45.1\% | 5,461 | 54.9\% | 9,939 | 3,393 | 34.7\% | 6,378 | 65.3\% | 9,771 |
| 1993 | 4,223 | 45.7\% | 5,010 | 54.3\% | 9,233 | 3,106 | 34.9\% | 5,786 | 65.1\% | 8,892 |
| 1994 | 4,139 | 45.1\% | 5,043 | 54.9\% | 9,182 | 3,030 | 34.7\% | 5,713 | 65.3\% | 8,743 |
| 1995 | 4,054 | 44.6\% | 5,035 | 55.4\% | 9,089 | 2,600 | 35.3\% | 4,766 | 64.7\% | 7,366 |
| 1996 | 3,782 | 43.7\% | 4,870 | 56.3\% | 8,652 | 2,425 | 35.7\% | 4,371 | 64.3\% | 6,796 |
| 1997 | 3,461 | 42.6\% | 4,656 | 57.4\% | 8,117 | 2,266 | 35.5\% | 4,125 | 64.5\% | 6,391 |
| 1998 | 3,148 | 42.4\% | 4,280 | 57.6\% | 7,428 | 1,984 | 35.4\% | 3,619 | 64.6\% | 5,603 |
| 1999 | 3,254 | 41.2\% | 4,639 | 58.8\% | 7,893 | 1,960 | 34.4\% | 3,744 | 65.6\% | 5,704 |
| 2000 | 2,935 | 39.8\% | 4,431 | 60.2\% | 7,366 | 1,752 | 31.7\% | 3,778 | 68.3\% | 5,530 |
| 2001 | 2,155 | 38.2\% | 3,481 | 61.8\% | 5,636 | 1,540 | 30.4\% | 3,527 | 69.6\% | 5,067 |
| 2002 | 1,897 | 37.0\% | 3,235 | 63.0\% | 5,132 | 1,358 | 31.7\% | 2,924 | 68.3\% | 4,282 |
| 2003 | 1,918 | 35.8\% | 3,445 | 64.2\% | 5,363 | 1,323 | 29.9\% | 3,105 | 70.1\% | 4,428 |
| 2004 | 1,795 | 33.8\% | 3,522 | 66.2\% | 5,317 | 1,285 | 27.6\% | 3,367 | 72.4\% | 4,652 |
| 2005 | 1,724 | 31.6\% | 3,732 | 68.4\% | 5,456 | 1,196 | 25.7\% | 3,455 | 74.3\% | 4,651 |
| 2006 | 1,543 | 28.3\% | 3,901 | 71.7\% | 5,444 | 1,088 | 23.8\% | 3,489 | 76.2\% | 4,577 |
| 2007 | 1,381 | 25.7\% | 4,003 | 74.3\% | 5,384 | 1,068 | 22.4\% | 3,696 | 77.6\% | 4,764 |

Notes:

1. Rural and Urban designations reflect the license year beginning residency and license year beginning city of the license holder.
2. Resident license holders from communities that did not match the 2000 Census file are assigned an unknown rural/urban designation and they are not shown in this table.
3. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
4. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file.

Table 15. The Rural/Urban Designation of Resident License Holders that Have and Have not Held a CFEC Permit between 1975 and 2007, by the Years in which Crewmember Licenses were Held

|  | Resident Crewmember License Holders with a Permit |  |  |  |  |  |  | Resident Crewmember License Holders without a Permit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Ru |  |  |  | Unk |  | Total |  |  | Urb |  | Unk | wn | Total |
| 1988 | 4,591 | 56.9\% | 3,434 | 42.6\% | 45 | 0.6\% | 8,070 | 5,260 | 44.1\% | 6,554 | 54.9\% | 122 | 1.0\% | 11,936 |
| 1989 | 4,652 | 56.8\% | 3,492 | 42.6\% | 52 | 0.6\% | 8,196 | 5,408 | 45.5\% | 6,321 | 53.2\% | 150 | 1.3\% | 11,879 |
| 1990 | 4,795 | 56.3\% | 3,664 | 43.0\% | 58 | 0.7\% | 8,517 | 5,654 | 44.9\% | 6,754 | 53.7\% | 176 | 1.4\% | 12,584 |
| 1991 | 4,605 | 55.9\% | 3,588 | 43.6\% | 43 | 0.5\% | 8,236 | 5,546 | 45.1\% | 6,566 | 53.4\% | 175 | 1.4\% | 12,287 |
| 1992 | 4,478 | 56.5\% | 3,393 | 42.8\% | 59 | 0.7\% | 7,930 | 5,461 | 45.4\% | 6,378 | 53.1\% | 183 | 1.5\% | 12,022 |
| 1993 | 4,223 | 57.2\% | 3,106 | 42.1\% | 51 | 0.7\% | 7,380 | 5,010 | 45.7\% | 5,786 | 52.8\% | 156 | 1.4\% | 10,952 |
| 1994 | 4,139 | 57.4\% | 3,030 | 42.0\% | 37 | 0.5\% | 7,206 | 5,043 | 46.3\% | 5,713 | 52.5\% | 127 | 1.2\% | 10,883 |
| 1995 | 4,054 | 60.6\% | 2,600 | 38.9\% | 33 | 0.5\% | 6,687 | 5,035 | 50.7\% | 4,766 | 48.0\% | 130 | 1.3\% | 9,931 |
| 1996 | 3,782 | 60.6\% | 2,425 | 38.8\% | 35 | 0.6\% | 6,242 | 4,870 | 52.2\% | 4,371 | 46.9\% | 80 | 0.9\% | 9,321 |
| 1997 | 3,461 | 60.2\% | 2,266 | 39.4\% | 18 | 0.3\% | 5,745 | 4,656 | 52.7\% | 4,125 | 46.7\% | 60 | 0.7\% | 8,841 |
| 1998 | 3,148 | 60.1\% | 1,984 | 37.9\% | 103 | 2.0\% | 5,235 | 4,280 | 52.9\% | 3,619 | 44.7\% | 196 | 2.4\% | 8,095 |
| 1999 | 3,254 | 62.2\% | 1,960 | 37.5\% | 19 | 0.4\% | 5,233 | 4,639 | 54.9\% | 3,744 | 44.3\% | 67 | 0.8\% | 8,450 |
| 2000 | 2,935 | 62.3\% | 1,752 | 37.2\% | 21 | 0.4\% | 4,708 | 4,431 | 53.6\% | 3,778 | 45.7\% | 54 | 0.7\% | 8,263 |
| 2001 | 2,155 | 58.2\% | 1,540 | 41.6\% | 9 | 0.2\% | 3,704 | 3,481 | 49.4\% | 3,527 | 50.0\% | 45 | 0.6\% | 7,053 |
| 2002 | 1,897 | 58.0\% | 1,358 | 41.5\% | 17 | 0.5\% | 3,272 | 3,235 | 52.1\% | 2,924 | 47.1\% | 45 | 0.7\% | 6,204 |
| 2003 | 1,918 | 59.0\% | 1,323 | 40.7\% | 11 | 0.3\% | 3,252 | 3,445 | 52.1\% | 3,105 | 47.0\% | 58 | 0.9\% | 6,608 |
| 2004 | 1,795 | 58.2\% | 1,285 | 41.6\% | 6 | 0.2\% | 3,086 | 3,522 | 50.8\% | 3,367 | 48.6\% | 44 | 0.6\% | 6,933 |
| 2005 | 1,724 | 58.8\% | 1,196 | 40.8\% | 14 | 0.5\% | 2,934 | 3,732 | 51.6\% | 3,455 | 47.8\% | 46 | 0.6\% | 7,233 |
| 2006 | 1,543 | 58.4\% | 1,088 | 41.1\% | 13 | 0.5\% | 2,644 | 3,901 | 52.4\% | 3,489 | 46.9\% | 50 | 0.7\% | 7,440 |
| 2007 | 1,381 | 56.2\% | 1,068 | 43.4\% | 10 | 0.4\% | 2,459 | 4,003 | 51.4\% | 3,696 | 47.5\% | 82 | 1.1\% | 7,781 |

Notes:

1. Rural and Urban designations reflect the license year beginning residency and license year beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown designation indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license year beginning, but their license year beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a rural/urban designation could not be assigned.
2. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
3. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file.

### 5.0 Permit Holder Status of Commercial Crewmember License Holders with Permits

The previous section of this report examined demographic data and trends over time for commercial crewmember license holders that either held or had not held a commercial fishing permit. This section shifts focus slightly and examines whether crewmembers held permits through emergency transfer of if they were outright permit holders.

### 5.1 Permit Holder vs. Emergency Transfer Holder

There are two ways in which an individual can hold a CFEC commercial fishing permit: as the permit holder of record or as a temporary holder through emergency transfer. In the event a limited entry permit holder is prevented from fishing due to illness, death, disability, required military or government service, or other unavoidable hardships of a temporary and unforeseen nature, they may emergency transfer their permit to another individual. ${ }^{13}$ The emergency transfer holder may fish the permit and make landings, but the permit reverts back to the permit holder by year-end.

When crewmember license holders were compared to CFEC permit holders, they were compared to permit holders of record as well as emergency transfer holders. Of the 30,225 crewmember license holders also identified in the CFEC permit data, 21,711 were permit holders ( $71.8 \%$ ), 5,917 were permit holders as well as emergency transfer holders (19.6\%), and 2,597 were exclusively emergency transfer holders (8.6\%).

Table 16 identifies the number of crewmember license holders that have held permits and/or been emergency transfer holders. The crewmember license holders are also broken out by their license career-beginning residency. Resident license holders were slightly more likely to be permit holders than nonresidents ( $92.7 \%$ and $88.1 \%$, respectively). Nonresident license holders were slightly more likely to have been emergency transfer holders than resident crewmember license holders ( $29.0 \%$ and $27.8 \%$, respectively).

Table 16. Permit Holder Status of Crewmember License Holders, by Residency

| Residency | Permit Holders <br> Only |  | ET Holders <br> Only |  | Permit and ET <br> Holders | Permit Holder <br> Subtotal | ET Holder <br> Subtotal | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nonresident | 5,990 | $71.0 \%$ | 1,003 | $11.9 \%$ | 1,448 | $17.2 \%$ | 7,438 | $88.1 \%$ | 2,451 | $29.0 \%$ |
| Resident | 15,721 | $72.2 \%$ | 1,594 | $7.3 \%$ | 4,469 | $20.5 \%$ | 20,190 | $92.7 \%$ | 6,063 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Total | 21,711 | $71.8 \%$ | 2,597 | $8.6 \%$ | 5,917 | $19.6 \%$ | 27,628 | $91.4 \%$ | 8,514 | $28.2 \%$ |

Notes:

1. Residency reflects the license career-beginning residency of the license holder.
2. ET refers to emergency transfer.
3. Each crewmember license holder associated with CFEC's permit data occurs in one of three categories: permit holders only, permit and ET holders, or ET holders only. Depending on the category, each license holder may be accounted for in one or both of the subtotal columns. As individuals occur in more than one column, numbers do not add up to the total in each row. Percentages reflect each category or subtotal's percentage of the total crewmember license holders for a residency or overall.
4. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
5. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
6. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).
[^10]Of the crewmember license holders that have held permits as both the holder of record and temporarily as the emergency transfer holder, $57.0 \%$ were a permit holder of record before they were an emergency transfer holder (3,371 of 5,917), $29.2 \%$ were an emergency transfer holder first ( 1,729 of 5,917 ), and $13.8 \%$ held a permit and an emergency transfer for the first time in the same year (817 of 5,917).

### 6.0 Permit Types Held by Commercial Crewmember License Holders

Alaska statutes refer to two classes of commercial fishing permits: entry and interim-use permits. For clarity sake, CFEC oftentimes differentiates those two classes of permits into four: limited entry permits, interim-use permits, interim-entry permits, and moratorium permits. Limited entry permits are issued in limited entry fisheries, interim-use permits are issued in open access fisheries, interim-entry permits are a type of interim-use permit issued in limited entry fisheries to individuals awaiting a decision on their limited entry permit application, and moratorium permits are a type of interim-use permit issued in fisheries under moratoria to individuals that meet certain eligibility requirements. For this section however, commercial fishing permits will be classified as either interim-use permits or limited entry permits. ${ }^{14}$ The previous section of this report examined whether commercial crewmember license holders were permit holders or emergency transfer holders. This section examines whether those that were permit holders held interim-use and/or limited entry permits.

### 6.1 Limited Entry vs. Interim-Use Permits ${ }^{15}$

Of the 30,225 crewmember license holders associated with a commercial fishing permit data, 27,628 were the permit holder, as opposed to the recipient of an emergency transfer. Table 17 contains a breakdown of those 27,628 crewmember license and permit holders by the type or types of commercial fishing permit they held between 1975 and 2007. Over three-quarters of the crewmember license holders held an interim-use permit ( 21,186 or $76.7 \%$ ), either solely or in conjuncture with a limited entry permit, and a majority held a limited entry permit (16,420 or 59.4\%).

Table 17 also shows the type of commercial fishing permit held by crewmember license holders that began their license career as either a resident or nonresident. The percentage of nonresidents that have held either an interimuse permit or a limited entry permit, but not both, is higher than for residents. A higher percentage of resident crewmember license holders have held both interim-use and limited entry permits than nonresidents, however.

Table 17. Permit Types Held by Crewmember License Holders, by Residency

| Residency | Interim-Use <br> Only |  | Limited Entry <br> Only |  | Interim-Use and <br> Limited Entry | Interim-Use <br> Subtotal | Limited Entry <br> Subtotal | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nonresident | 3,229 | $43.4 \%$ | 2,107 | $28.3 \%$ | 2,102 | $28.3 \%$ | 5,331 | $71.7 \%$ | 4,209 | $56.6 \%$ |
| Resident | 7,979 | $39.5 \%$ | 4,335 | $21.5 \%$ | 7,876 | $39.0 \%$ | 15,855 | $78.5 \%$ | 12,211 | $60.5 \%$ |
| Total | 11,208 | $40.6 \%$ | 6,442 | $23.3 \%$ | 9,978 | $36.1 \%$ | 21,186 | $76.7 \%$ | 16,420 | $59.4 \%$ |

## Notes:

1. Residency reflects the license career-beginning residency of the license holder.
2. Interim-Use refers collectively to interim-use permits, interim-entry permits, and moratorium permits.
3. Each crewmember license holder associated with CFEC's permit data as the permit holder occurs in one of three categories: interim-use only, interim-use and limited entry, or limited entry only. Depending on the category, each license holder may be accounted for in one or both of the subtotal columns. As individuals occur in more than one column, numbers do not add up to the total in each row. Percentages reflect each category or subtotal's percentage of the total crewmember license holders for a residency or overall.
4. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included.

Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included. Recipients of emergency transfers are not included.
5. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
6. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

[^11]
### 6.2 Resource Type and Permit Fishery of Permits Held by Crewmember License Holders

There are many different commercial fishing permit types issued. Permit types vary based on the type of resource harvested, the type of gear used, the area where harvest occurs, and in some cases by vessel length or gear restriction. Table 18 summarizes the permit types held by crewmember license holders based on the type of fishery resource the permit was issued for. Salmon permits have been the most common permit type held by crewmember license holders. Over half of the crewmember license holders with permits have held a salmon permit ( 14,932 or $54.0 \%$ ). Halibut permits have been the second most common type of permit held by crewmember license holders (9,675 or 35.0\%).

Table 18. Resource Type of Permits Held by Crewmember License Holders

| Type of Resource | Crewmember License/Permit Holders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S - Salmon | 14,932 | 54.0\% |
| B - Halibut | 9,675 | 35.0\% |
| G - Roe herring | 6,563 | 23.8\% |
| M - Miscellaneous saltwater finfish | 6,362 | 23.0\% |
| L - Herring spawn-on-kelp | 3,327 | 12.0\% |
| C - Sablefish | 2,543 | 9.2\% |
| T - Tanner Crab | 2,398 | 8.7\% |
| K - King Crab | 1,972 | 7.1\% |
| P - Shrimp | 1,967 | 7.1\% |
| D - Dungeness Crab | 1,902 | 6.9\% |
| R - Clams (except geoduck) | 1,026 | 3.7\% |
| Z - Miscellaneous marine invertebrates | 880 | 3.2\% |
| Y - Demersal Shelf Rockfish | 800 | 2.9\% |
| Q - Sea Cucumbers | 637 | 2.3\% |
| H - Food/Bait herring | 604 | 2.2\% |
| U - Sea Urchins | 449 | 1.6\% |
| I- Lingcod | 414 | 1.5\% |
| A - Abalone | 375 | 1.4\% |
| F - Freshwater fish | 363 | 1.3\% |
| O - Octopi and squid | 264 | 1.0\% |
| E-Bering Sea Hair Crab | 209 | 0.8\% |
| J - Geoducks | 91 | 0.3\% |
| W - Scallops | 65 | 0.2\% |
| N - Snails | 42 | 0.2\% |
| Total Distinct Individuals | 27,628 |  |

[^12]The commercial fishing permits held by crewmember license holders were also summarized by the permit fishery for which they were issued. The 20 most common are listed in Table 19. The two statewide halibut longline permit fisheries were most common among crewmember license holders. A statewide longline halibut permit for vessels under 60 feet has been held by 6,619 crewmember license holders (B 06B, 24.0\%) and the statewide longline halibut permit for vessels over 60 feet has been held by 4,377 crewmember license holders (B 61B, $15.8 \%$ ). The Bristol Bay salmon drift gillnet (S 03T) permit fishery is the third most commonly held permit for crewmember license holders ( $2,903,10.5 \%$ ). Unfortunately, because data are not currently collected about crewmember participation, it is not possible to identify whether crewmember license holders obtain permits for the fisheries in which they participated as a crewmember.

It is most common that crewmember license holders have held a permit in only one permit fishery. Of the 27,628 crewmember license holders that have been a permit holder, 12,567 have held a permit with one permit fishery code ( $45.5 \%$ ). Conversely, a small percentage ( $1.2 \%$ ) has held a permit with 16 or more permit fisheries. Table 20 summarizes crewmember license holders by the number of permit fisheries in which they have held a permit.

Table 19. The 20 Most Common Permit Fisheries of Permits Held by Crewmember License Holders

| Permit Fishery | Crewmember License/Permit Holders |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B 06B - STATEWIDE HALIBUT, LONGLINE VESSEL UNDER 60' | 6,619 | 24.0\% |
| B 61B - STATEWIDE HALIBUT, LONGLINE VESSEL 60' OR OVER | 4,377 | 15.8\% |
| S 03T - BRISTOL BAY SALMON, DRIFT GILLNET | 2,903 | 10.5\% |
| M 61B - STW MISCELLANEOUS SALTWATER FINFISH, LONGLINE VESSEL OVER 60' | 2,539 | 9.2\% |
| S 05B - STATEWIDE SALMON, HAND TROLL | 2,393 | 8.7\% |
| M 06B - STW MISCELLANEOUS SALTWATER FINFISH, LONGLINE VESSEL UNDER 60' | 2,187 | 7.9\% |
| G 34T - BRISTOL BAY HERRING ROE, GILLNET | 2,186 | 7.9\% |
| G 34J - WESTWARD HERRING, GILLNET | 2,166 | 7.8\% |
| S 04T - BRISTOL BAY SALMON, SET GILLNET | 1,756 | 6.4\% |
| C 61B - STATEWIDE SABLEFISH, LONGLINE VESSEL 60' OR OVER | 1,750 | 6.3\% |
| M 26B - STATEWIDE MISCELLANEOUS SALTWATER FINFISH, MECHANICAL JIG | 1,598 | 5.8\% |
| P 09B - STATEWIDE SHRIMP, POT GEAR VESSEL 50' OR LESS | 1,345 | 4.9\% |
| D 09B - STATEWIDE DUNGENESS CRAB, POT GEAR VESSEL 50' OR LESS | 1,305 | 4.7\% |
| L 99B - STATEWIDE HERRING SPAWN ON KELP, OTHER GEAR | 1,235 | 4.5\% |
| L 12T - BRISTOL BAY HERRING SPAWN ON KELP, HAND PICK | 1,229 | 4.4\% |
| S 04W - KUSKOKWIM SALMON, GILLNET | 1,122 | 4.1\% |
| S 04H - COOK INLET SALMON, SET GILLNET | 1,111 | 4.0\% |
| S 15B - STATEWIDE SALMON, POWER TROLL | 1,064 | 3.9\% |
| B 05B - STATEWIDE HALIBUT, HAND TROLL | 1,031 | 3.7\% |
| G 34S - SECURITY COVE HERRING ROE, GILLNET | 997 | 3.6\% |
| Total Distinct Individuals | 27,628 |  |

Notes:

1. Permit fishery refers to the resource type, gear type, area, and possibly vessel or gear restriction for which a permit was issued. Crewmember license holders have held permits in 431 permit fisheries.
2. 'STW' signifies Statewide.
3. Only crewmember license holders that were the permit holder are included. Permits held through emergency transfer are not included. 4. Individuals may have held permits in more than 1 permit fishery, so the sum of crewmember license holders in all the categories will exceed the total count of unique crewmember license/permit holders.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

Table 20. Number of Permit Fishery Types Held by Crewmember License Holders

| Permit Fishery Count | Crewmember License Holders |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 12,567 | $45.5 \%$ |
| 2 | 5,302 | $19.2 \%$ |
| 3 | 2,932 | $10.6 \%$ |
| 4 | 1,889 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 5 | 1,226 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 6 | 854 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 7 | 648 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 8 | 453 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 9 | 392 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 10 | 281 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 11 | 218 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 12 | 162 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 13 | 158 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 14 | 122 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 15 | 88 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $16+$ | 336 | $1.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 27,628 |  |

[^13]
### 7.0 Longevity

Because information has not been collected about which crewmember license holders participate in fisheries, and which CFEC permit card holders participate as crewmembers, it is difficult to identify long-term professional and short-term transient crew. For this report as well as previous CFEC reports, license longevity is defined as the number of years that an individual has held a commercial crewmember license. License longevity has been used to estimate which crewmember license holders are long-term and which are short-term crewmembers. In a previous report, license longevity was summarized for crewmember license holders and generalizations made about professional and transient crew. ${ }^{16}$ In this report, license longevity is compared for crewmember license holders that have and have not held CFEC commercial fishing permits. In general, does license longevity differ between crewmember license holders that have held a permit at some point and crewmember license holders that have not been associated with a CFEC commercial fishing permit?

This report then goes further and examines the career longevity of crewmember license holders that have held commercial fishing permits. Career longevity is defined as the number of years an individual has held a commercial crewmember license and/or a CFEC commercial fishing permit. Do permit holdings significantly extend the length of a crewmember license holder's career in commercial fishing?

In order to examine longevity, the following longevity attributes were estimated for each crewmember license holder:

- Comprehensive license longevity - the total number of years that an individual held a crewmember license between 1988 and 2007.
- Cumulative license longevity - the number of years that an individual held a crewmember license between 1988 and up to and including the year of the license. A cumulative license longevity value is calculated for an individual in each year they held a crewmember license between 1988 and 2007.
- Comprehensive career longevity - the total number of years that an individual has held a crewmember license between 1988 and 2007 and/or held a CFEC commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2007.
- Cumulative career longevity - the number of years that an individual held either a crewmember license or a CFEC commercial fishing permit up to and including the year of the license or permit. A cumulative career longevity value is calculated for an individual in each year they held a crewmember license between 1988 and 2007 or held a CFEC commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2007.
Each attribute will be explained and summarized for the crewmember license holders in the following sections.


### 7.1 Comprehensive License Longevity

The comprehensive license longevity represents the total number of years that an individual has held a crewmember license between 1988 and 2007. Based on unique identification number assignments, an estimated 181,056 distinct individuals obtained at least one commercial crewmember license between 1988 and 2007. Each of these is attributed with a single comprehensive license longevity value. For example, an individual with a crewmember license in each year between 1988 and 2007 has a comprehensive license longevity value of 20 years and an individual with a crewmember license only in 1990 has a comprehensive license longevity value of 1 year.

A considerable amount of effort was spent in License Longevity, Alaskan Community, and Age of Commercial Crewmember License Holders discussing how this attribute is an underestimate for many crewmember license holders. Because of the abbreviated time-period for which crewmember license data are available, many

[^14]comprehensive license longevity values do not accurately reflect the number of years that an individual has held a crewmember license. For example, an individual that only appears in the data in 1988 will be assigned a comprehensive license longevity of 1 year. In reality, they may have held crewmember licenses in several prior years but which they cannot be credited for. However, a crewmember license holder later in the time period may have all of their licenses credited in their comprehensive license longevity calculation. The data constraints prevent the accurate measurement of some crewmember's license longevity. As a consequence, analysis on the comprehensive license longevity values may not accurately reflect license longevity statistics, any summaries or statistics are an underestimate, and they treat individuals that are unequally disadvantaged in the same way.

Even with that being said, descriptive statistics for the comprehensive license longevity of crewmember license holders over the 20 year period between 1988 and 2007 are shown in Table 21. The values are intended simply as a point of comparison between the different classifications of license holders. They are not intended to be taken at face value because of the data constraints described above. Each group may equally contain individuals that are disadvantaged by the data constraints. From these statistics it can be gathered that each group of license holders has crewmembers with a single license and those with a license in every year, but that on average, license holders that have held CFEC permits have held crewmember licenses in more years than those that have not held a CFEC permit.

Table 21. Comprehensive License Longevity Statistics over 1988 to 2007, for All License Holders, License Holders without CFEC Permits, and License Holders with CFEC Permits

| Longevity <br> Statistic |  | All License <br> Holders | License Holders <br> without a Permit | License Holders <br> with a Permit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number | 181,056 | 150,831 | 30,225 |  |
| Mean | 2.82 | 2.39 | 4.99 |  |
| Median | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Mode | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Std. Dev. | 3.12 | 2.63 | 4.23 |  |
| Maximum | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
| Minimum | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Comprehensive license longevity refers to the number of years each commercial crewmember license holder has held a license between 1988 and 2007.
2. Comprehensive license longevity values and the descriptive statistics should be viewed as underestimates, because not all the years of crewmember licenses could be included in the longevity determination. 3. To be classified as a permit holder, the crewmember license holder must have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit or been an emergency transfer holder in at least 1 year between 1975 and 2007.
3. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

### 7.2 Cumulative License Longevity

The cumulative license longevity values reflect the number of years that an individual held a crewmember license between 1988 and up to and including a particular year between 1988 and 2007. Each of the estimated 181,056 individuals in the data has a cumulative license longevity value for each year in which they held a commercial crewmember license. For example, an individual who held a license in every year between 1988 and 2007 has 20
cumulative license longevity values; one for each year they held a license. In 1988 their cumulative license longevity is 1 year, in 1989 their cumulative license longevity is 2 years, and in 1990 their cumulative license longevity is 3 years, etc. For each succeeding year that a license was held, the cumulative license longevity value is one year greater, until 2007 when their cumulative license longevity is 20 years. For an individual who only held a license in 1990, they have a single cumulative license longevity value for 1990 of 1 year.

The cumulative license longevity values provide an alternate way to summarize license longevity for crewmember license holders. In this approach cumulative license longevities are summarized for each year; the mean, median, and frequencies are only based on cumulative longevities of crewmember license holders with a license in that particular year and their longevity values only reflect their license holdings up to and including that year. For example, the license longevity summaries for 2000 only reflect license holders in 2000 and their cumulative license longevities up to and including 2000. There are 13 years of license data available leading up to and including 2000, and each license holder's cumulative longevity value is based on the number of years they have held a license between 1988 and 2000. Again, the report License Longevity, Alaskan Community, and Age of Commercial Crewmember License Holders discusses how this alternate approach still has its biases and still underestimates license longevity, but it eliminates the bias caused by summarizing longevity values that are based on a different number of available years of data.

The cumulative license longevities of crewmember license holders in each year between 1988 and 2007 are summarized in Table 22. The table indicates the number of license holders in each year, the mean and median cumulative license longevity in that year, and the number of license holders by their cumulative license longevity. For example, there were 18,939 unique crewmember license holders in 2007, the mean license longevity was 5.5 years, and the median license longevity was 3 years. There were 5,187 license holders who appear for the first time in the data series, which account for $27.4 \%$ of the license holders in 2007 and 3,971 license holders that held a crewmember license in at least 10 years between 1988 and 2007 (21.0\%).

Separate tables were prepared for crewmember license holders that have not been associated with a CFEC commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2007 (Table 23) and for those that have (Table 24). The most striking difference between these two tables is that in each year, license holders that have held a CFEC permit have on average held licenses in more years than license holders that have not been associated with a CFEC permit. In 2007 that difference is the most dramatic; the mean longevity is 5.1 years longer for crewmember license holders that have held a permit, and the median is 6 years longer.

The cumulative license longevities of the crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit are then broken out even further by license residency. In several years, resident license holders associated with a CFEC permit have on average held crewmember licenses in more years than nonresident license holders. In 2007 this difference is the largest; the mean cumulative license longevity for residents is 9.9 years compared to 9.1 for nonresidents. In several years between 1988 and 2007, however, the difference in the means for these two populations is negligible. In fact, in most years before 2005, the median license longevity value for residents and nonresidents is the same.

Table 22. Mean and Median Cumulative License Longevities and the Number of Unique Crewmember License Holders by Cumulative License Longevity, by License Year


Table 23. Mean and Median Cumulative License Longevities and the Number of Unique Crewmember License Holders WITHOUT a CFEC Permit by Cumulative License Longevity, by License Year


Table 24. Mean and Median Cumulative License Longevities and the Number of Unique Crewmember License Holders WITH a CFEC Permit by Cumulative License Longevity, by License Year


Table 25. Mean and Median Cumulative License Longevities and the Number of Unique RESIDENT Crewmember License Holders WITH a CFEC Permit by Cumulative License Longevity, by License Year


Table 26. Mean and Median Cumulative License Longevities and the Number of Unique NONRESIDENT Crewmember License Holders WITH a CFEC Permit by Cumulative License Longevity, by License Yr

| License Year | Available Years of License Data | No. of Unique License Holders | Longevity <br> (in years) |  | Number of Nonresident License Holders by Cumulative License Longevity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|  |  |  | Mean | Median | Year | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years | Years |
| 2007 | 20 | 979 | 9.1 | 9 | 78 | 66 | 73 | 69 | 54 | 57 | 49 | 42 | 38 | 53 | 52 | 50 | 41 | 38 | 31 | 43 | 40 | 42 | 37 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.5\% | 7.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.3\% | 5.1\% | 4.2\% | 3.9\% | 3.2\% | 4.4\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% | 3.8\% | 2.7\% |
| 2006 | 19 | 1,075 | 8.7 | 8 | 102 | 91 | 78 | 65 | 66 | 51 | 48 | 46 | 53 | 59 | 57 | 54 | 45 | 35 | 43 | 56 | 55 | 42 | 29 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9.5\% | 8.5\% | 7.3\% | 6.0\% | 6.1\% | 4.7\% | 4.5\% | 4.3\% | 4.9\% | 5.5\% | 5.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.1\% | 3.9\% | 2.7\% |  |
| 2005 | 18 | 1,174 | 8.3 | 8 | 133 | 106 | 81 | 62 | 53 | 58 | 54 | 64 | 64 | 69 | 64 | 54 | 52 | 53 | 68 | 53 | 50 | 36 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11.3\% | 9.0\% | 6.9\% | 5.3\% | 4.5\% | 4.9\% | 4.6\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.9\% | 5.5\% | 4.6\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | 5.8\% | 4.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.1\% |  |  |
| 2004 | 17 | 1,277 | 8.1 | 8 | 140 | 101 | 86 | 66 | 71 | 63 | 64 | 87 | 75 | 75 | 70 | 61 | 79 | 72 | 66 | 59 | 42 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11.0\% | 7.9\% | 6.7\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 6.8\% | 5.9\% | 5.9\% | 5.5\% | 4.8\% | 6.2\% | 5.6\% | 5.2\% | 4.6\% | 3.3\% |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 16 | 1,274 | 7.9 | 8 | $123$ | 94 | $77$ | 90 | 73 | 82 | 86 | 71 | 84 | 72 | 56 | 85 | 83 | 75 | 72 | $51$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $9.7 \%$ | 7.4\% | $6.0 \%$ | 7.1\% | 5.7\% | 6.4\% | 6.8\% | 5.6\% | 6.6\% | 5.7\% | 4.4\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% | 5.9\% | 5.7\% | 4.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 15 | 1,161 | 7.8 | 8 | 103 | 95 | 69 | 70 | 77 | 81 | 72 | 75 | 77 | 57 | 78 | 77 | 79 | 89 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8.9\% | 8.2\% | 5.9\% | 6.0\% | 6.6\% | 7.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 6.6\% | 4.9\% | 6.7\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% | 7.7\% | 5.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 14 | 1,437 | 7.3 | 7 | 139 | 118 | 103 | 99 | 102 | 89 | 100 | 102 | 78 | 92 | 103 | 113 | 113 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9.7\% | 8.2\% | 7.2\% | 6.9\% | 7.1\% | 6.2\% | 7.0\% | 7.1\% | 5.4\% | 6.4\% | 7.2\% | 7.9\% | 7.9\% | 6.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 13 | 1,794 | 6.8 | 7 | $193$ | $147$ | $144$ | $132$ | $119$ | 135 | 128 | 108 | 133 | 122 | 149 | 157 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $10.8 \%$ | 8.2\% | 8.0\% | $7.4 \%$ | 6.6\% | 7.5\% | 7.1\% | 6.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.8\% | 8.3\% | 8.8\% | 7.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 12 | 1,857 | 6.6 | 7 | 175 | 149 | 162 | 132 | 155 | 127 | 126 | 148 | 149 | 177 | 201 | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9.4\% | 8.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.1\% | 8.3\% | 6.8\% | 6.8\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 9.5\% | 10.8\% | 8.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 11 | 2,033 | 6.2 | 6 | 208 | 193 | 156 | 188 | 148 | 147 | 171 | 167 | 210 | 251 | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10.2\% | 9.5\% | 7.7\% | 9.2\% | 7.3\% | 7.2\% | 8.4\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% | 12.3\% | 9.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 10 | 2,190 | 5.7 | 6 | 238 | 205 | 210 | 192 | 172 | 199 | 192 | 247 | 287 | 248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10.9\% | 9.4\% | 9.6\% | 8.8\% | 7.9\% | 9.1\% | 8.8\% | 11.3\% | 13.1\% | 11.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 9 | 2,400 | 5.3 | 6 | 268 | 270 | 226 | 191 | 236 | 249 | 294 | 352 | 314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11.2\% | 11.3\% | 9.4\% | 8.0\% | 9.8\% | 10.4\% | 12.3\% | 14.7\% | 13.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 8 | 2,599 | 4.7 | 5 | 332 | 290 | 261 | 304 | 292 | 340 | 414 | 366 | Notes: <br> 1. Cumulative license longevity refers to the number of years each commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12.8\% | 11.2\% | 10.0\% | 11.7\% | 11.2\% | 13.1\% | 15.9\% | 14.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 7 | 2,596 | 4.3 | 5 | 359 | 283 | 304 | 332 | 392 | 490 | 436 | crewmember license holder held a license between 1988 and a particular license year. <br> 2. Cumulative license longevity values and the descriptive statistics should be viewed as |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.8\% | 10.9\% | 11.7\% | 12.8\% | 15.1\% | 18.9\% 16.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 6 | 2,669 | 3.8 | 4 | 394 | 341 | 372 | 450 | 560 | 552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 14.8\% | 12.8\% | 13.9\% | 16.9\% | 21.0\% | 20.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 5 | 2,818 | 3.2 | 3 | 505 | 438 | 540 | 639 | 696 |  |  |  |  | 3. The crewmember license holder must have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit or been an emergency transfer holder in at least 1 year between 1975 and 2007 to be |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 17.9\% | 15.5\% | 19.2\% | 22.7\% | 24.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 4 | 2,900 | 2.7 | 3 | 576 | 630 | 804 | 890 |  |  |  |  |  | classified as having a permit. <br> 4. Residency reflects the license year-beginning residency of the license holder. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.9\% | 21.7\% | 27.7\% | 30.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 3 | 2,943 | 2.1 | 2 | 840 | 999 | 1,104 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5. The total number of crewmember license holders in a year may differ from other CFEC reports because of improvements/changes in the identification of unique individuals and the assignment of identification numbers. As a result of the improved/changed identification, longevity attributes may be different for individuals for this analysis than in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 28.5\% | 33.9\% | 37.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 2 | 2,556 | 1.6 | 2 | $1,089$ | 1,467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 42.6\% | 57.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 1 | 2,446 | 1.0 | 1 | 2,446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | othe | CFEC | eports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6. Source: CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.3 Comprehensive Career Longevity

Long-term professional crewmembers are viewed as being more dependent upon fisheries for their livelihood than transient crewmembers. Because it is difficult to identify long-term professional and short-term transient crewmembers with existing data, license longevity has been used to estimate long-term and short-term crewmembers. Following the examination of license longevity, the next logical extension would be to examine the career longevity of crewmembers that have held CFEC commercial fishing permits. Do holding CFEC permits noticeably extend the length of crewmembers' commercial fishing careers? These sections attempt to address that question.

The comprehensive career longevity represents the total number of years that an individual has held a crewmember license between 1988 and 2007 and/or held a CFEC commercial fishing permit between 1975 and 2007. Based on unique identification number assignments, an estimated 181,056 distinct individuals obtained at least one commercial crewmember license between 1988 and 2007 and 30,225 of those held a CFEC permit at some point between 1975 and 2007. For the 150,831 crewmember license holders that could not be associated with a CFEC permit, their comprehensive career longevity is the same length as their comprehensive license longevity. For the 30,225 crewmember license holders associated with a CFEC permit, their comprehensive career longevity may be the same as their comprehensive license longevity (if permit holdings only occur in the same years as license holdings) or longer (if permit holdings exist outside the years crewmember licenses were held).

Table 27 contains summary statistics on the comprehensive career longevities of crewmember license holders that have not held a CFEC permit and those crewmember license holders that have. At first glance, it appears that holding CFEC permits greatly extends the career of crewmember license holders. The mean comprehensive career longevity is 9.9 years longer for crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit than for those that have not. The increase in the comprehensive career longevity is due in part to longer license holding careers, described in previous sections, but is also due to the permit holdings. Crewmember license holders have held CFEC permits in years other than their crewmember licenses in as few as 1 year and in as many as 32 years. The mean number of years that crewmember license holders have held permits in years other than their crewmember licenses is 8.0 and the median is 5 .

An inherent bias in Table 27 is the length of years upon which comprehensive career longevities were calculated. There are only 20 years of crewmember license data from which to determine career longevities for the individuals that have not held a CFEC permit but there are 33 years of CFEC permit data from which to determine career longevities for individuals that have held a CFEC permit. In order to "level the playing field," an additional comprehensive career longevity was calculated for individuals that have held crewmember licenses and a CFEC permit. This second comprehensive career longevity was based on the same years of crewmember license data (1988-2007) but considered only a subset of the years for which permit data were available (19882007). In this way, career longevities are determined in a comparable way for individuals who have held a permit and those that have not.

As expected, the comprehensive career longevities are, on average, shorter for crewmember license holders with a permit when they are calculated on fewer years of permit data. The mean longevity is 9.79 years, 2.5 years shorter than when the full span of permit data is included, and the median is 2 years shorter at 9 years. Even though career longevities are shorter when determined in this manner, they still suggest that crewmember license holders who have held a CFEC permit tend to have longer careers. The mean length of comprehensive career longevities for crewmembers that have held a permit is almost 5 years longer than the mean length of their comprehensive license longevities ( 9.79 vs. 4.99 years). Crewmember license holders have held CFEC permits in years other than their crewmember licenses in as few as 1 year and in as many as 19 years between 1988 and 2007. The mean number of years that crewmember license holders have held permits in years other than their crewmember licenses is 6.7 and the median is 5 .

With comprehensive career longevity of crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit based on the same number of years of data as the comprehensive career longevity of crewmember license holders that have not held a CFEC permit (the same as comprehensive license longevity), it seems more reasonable to compare the two values. The comprehensive career longevity mean suggests crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit have longer commercial fishing careers than those that have not held a CFEC permit. The mean for those with a permit is 9.79 years opposed to 2.39 years for those who have not held a permit. As mentioned above, the increase in the comprehensive career longevity for crewmembers with a permit is due in part to longer license longevity but also to permit holdings.

Table 27. Comprehensive Career Longevity Statistics for Crewmember License Holders With and Without CFEC Commercial Fishing Permits

|  |  | License Holders with Permit |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Longevity <br> Statistic | License Holders <br> Without Permit | Including Permit <br> Data '75-‘07 | Including Permit <br> Data '88-'07 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Number | 150,831 | 30,225 | 30,225 |
| Mean | 2.39 | 12.29 | 9.79 |
| Median | 1 | 11 | 9 |
| Mode | 1 | 4 | 20 |
| Std. Dev. | 2.63 | 7.91 | 6.34 |
| Maximum | 20 | 33 | 20 |
| Minimum | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Notes:

1. Comprehensive career longevity refers to the number of years each commercial crewmember license holder has held a license between 1988 and 2007 and/or CFEC permits between 1975 and 2007 or CFEC permits between 1988 and 2007.
2. Comprehensive career longevity values and the descriptive statistics should be viewed as underestimates, because not all the years of crewmember licenses could be included in the longevity determination and for the 1988-2007 column, not all the years of CFEC permits were included.
3. To be classified as a permit holder, the crewmember license holder must have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit or been an emergency transfer holder in at least 1 year between 1975 and 2007.
4. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

The comprehensive career longevity values are affected by data constraints just as the comprehensive license longevity values are and should be viewed with caution. Many comprehensive career longevity values do not accurately reflect the length of an individual's career because crewmember license holdings prior to 1988 cannot be included and CFEC permit holdings prior to 1988 may not be included, depending on which comprehensive career longevity value is used. At the very least, the summary statistics should be viewed as underestimates.

### 7.4 Cumulative Career Longevity

Just like a cumulative license longevity value provided an alternate way to summarize license longevity for crewmember license holders, cumulative career longevity values provide an alternate way to summarize career longevity for individuals that are both crewmember license and CFEC permit holders. The cumulative career longevity values reflect the number of years that an individual held either a crewmember license or a CFEC commercial fishing permit up to and including a particular year. The CFEC commercial fishing permits may have
been held in years between 1975 and 2007. The crewmember licenses may have been held in years between 1988 and 2007. For example, the 1988 cumulative career longevities are based on permit holdings between 1975 and 1988 and crewmember license holdings in 1988. The 2007 cumulative career longevities are based on permit holdings between 1975 and 2007 and crewmember license holdings between 1988 and 2007. To further illustrate this, an individual with permits in 1987 and 1988 and crewmember licenses in 1988 and 1989 has cumulative career longevities of 1 in 1987, 2 in 1988, and 3 in 1989. Years in which both a crewmember license and CFEC permit were held are only counted as a single year towards career longevity. Each of the estimated 30,225 individuals that have held a crewmember license and CFEC commercial fishing permit have a cumulative career longevity value for each year in which they held a license or a permit.

Prior to 1988, the cumulative career longevity values only reflect years in which CFEC permits have been held, because crewmember license data before 1988 could not be included in this analysis. It is from 1988 and onward that crewmember license holdings begin to contribute to career longevities. Because the focus of this report is on crewmember license holders that have held permits, the cumulative career longevity summaries are only shown for years once crewmember license data have been available. Tables 28 a and 28 b summarize the cumulative career longevities of crewmember license holders that have also held a CFEC commercial fishing permit. The summaries are provided for the license holders in each year between 1988 and 2007, but whose career holdings may precede those years. The tables also indicate the frequency of license holders in each year by their career longevity up to and including that year. For example, in 2007 there were 3,438 unique crewmember license holders. Of the 20 years of crewmember license data and 33 years of CFEC permit data available, their mean career longevity was 15.3 years and their median career longevity was 16 years. There were individuals with a career longevity as short as 1 year and as long as 33 years. The most common career longevity in 2007 was 20 years (256 individuals, $7.4 \%$ of 3,438 license holders).

It is not surprising that with each additional year of data, the mean cumulative career longevity increases from 1988 until 2007. The median career longevity values increase overall, as well, but not as consistently from year to year as the mean. Originally the intent of the career longevities was to compare cumulative career longevities for crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit to their license longevities and to career longevities of crewmember license holders that have not held a CFEC permit, to estimate how much permit holdings contribute to the length of a commercial fishing career. Just like with the comprehensive career longevities it seems illogical to compare cumulative career longevities based on 20 potential years of data with longevities based on 33 potential years of data, so cumulative career longevities were recalculated using the 20 years of crewmember license data and the same 20 years of CFEC permit data. Although these values seem more appropriate to compare to other cumulative longevities, those based on 33 years of CFEC permit data are useful because they give a closer approximation of what career longevity may be in reality.

Table 29 summarizes the cumulative career longevities of crewmember license holders that have also held a CFEC commercial fishing permit. The summaries are provided for the license holders in each year between 1988 and 2007, but do not include any career holdings that precede 1988. The table also indicates the frequency of license holders in each year by their career longevity from 1988 up to and including that year. A comparison of cumulative career longevity to cumulative license longevity for the crewmember license holders in 2007 that have held a CFEC permit, confirms that permit holdings tend to add to an individual's commercial fishing career. For these 3,438 individuals permit holding added an average of 3.6 additional years to their career (13.3 years vs. 9.7 years). With each additional year of available data, the gap has increased. For example, crewmember license holders in 2006 added an average of 3.2 additional years over their license holdings and 2005 license holders an additional 2.8 years, etc.

A comparison of the cumulative career longevity of crewmember license holders in 2007 that have held a CFEC permit to crewmember license holders in 2007 that have not held a CFEC permit, shows a much greater
commercial fishing career length for those with permit holdings. ${ }^{17}$ The mean career longevity for those with permit holdings is 13.3 years whereas the mean career longevity for those without permit holdings is 4.6 years. Out of 20 years of permit and license data, those individuals that have held both average 8.7 years longer than individuals that have only held licenses. The composition of individuals by career longevity is very different for these two groups as well; for those having held a permit, the number of license holders by longevity increases as the career longevity increases and for those that have not held a permit, the number of license holders decreases as career longevity increases.

All of the longevity attributes discussed in these sections suggests that individuals that have held a crewmember license and a CFEC commercial fishing permit tend to have longer crewmember license careers and longer commercial fishing careers than those individuals that have only held a crewmember license.

[^15]Table 28a. Mean and Median Cumulative Career Longevity and the Number of Unique Crewmember License Holders WITH a CFEC Permit by Cumulative Career Longevity, by License Year

| License Year | Available Years of License Data | Available Years of Permit Data | No. of Unique License Holders | Longevity (in years) |  | Number of License Holders by Cumulative Career Longevity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean | Median | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \text { Year } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | 6Years | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 20 | 33 | 3,438 | 15.3 | 16 | 24 | 60 | 77 | 94 | 91 | 114 | 119 | 121 | 117 | 136 | 133 | 149 | 159 | 148 | 168 | 190 | 164 | 227 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 3.3\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% | 3.9\% | 4.3\% | 4.6\% | 4.3\% | 4.9\% | 5.5\% | 4.8\% | 6.6\% |
| 2006 | 19 | 32 | 3,719 | 14.2 | 14 | 75 | 100 | 125 | 98 | 123 | 106 | 132 | 146 | 152 | 149 | 156 | 163 | 162 | 184 | 183 | 188 | 252 | 243 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.4\% | 2.6\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 3.5\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 4.9\% | 4.9\% | 5.1\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% |
| 2005 | 18 | 31 | 4,108 | 13.3 | 13 | 131 | 152 | 121 | 134 | 131 | 169 | 149 | 171 | 166 | 167 | 176 | 185 | 204 | 205 | 217 | 276 | 284 | 312 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.2\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.2\% | 4.1\% | 3.6\% | 4.2\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.3\% | 6.7\% | 6.9\% | 7.6\% |
| 2004 | 17 | 30 | 4,363 | 12.6 | 13 | 156 | 144 | 172 | 158 | 166 | 167 | 185 | 174 | 177 | 198 | 214 | 217 | 232 | 243 | 303 | 316 | 321 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6\% | 3.3\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.5\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% | 6.9\% | 7.2\% | 7.4\% | 2.9\% |
| 2003 | 16 | 29 | 4,526 | 12.1 | 12 | 142 | 179 | 173 | 178 | 180 | 218 | 186 | 197 | 204 | 229 | 215 | 255 | 246 | 318 | 346 | 371 | 149 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 4.0\% | 4.8\% | 4.1\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | 5.1\% | 4.8\% | 5.6\% | 5.4\% | 7.0\% | 7.6\% | 8.2\% | 3.3\% | 2.1\% |
| 2002 | 15 | 28 | 4,433 | 11.6 | 12 | 161 | 169 | 166 | 180 | 194 | 191 | 187 | 215 | 238 | 218 | 238 | 239 | 335 | 384 | 426 | 167 | 99 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 3.7\% | 4.1\% | 4.4\% | 4.3\% | 4.2\% | 4.8\% | 5.4\% | 4.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 7.6\% | 8.7\% | 9.6\% | 3.8\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% |
| 2001 | 14 | 27 | 5,141 | 11.0 | 11 | 179 | 206 | 231 | 219 | 250 | 225 | 248 | 274 | 281 | 292 | 301 | 370 | 462 | 519 | 190 | 135 | 118 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5\% | 4.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.3\% | 4.9\% | 4.4\% | 4.8\% | 5.3\% | 5.5\% | 5.7\% | 5.9\% | 7.2\% | 9.0\% | 10.1\% | 3.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% |
| 2000 | 13 | 26 | 6,502 | 10.4 | 10 | 273 | 275 | 290 | 304 | 297 | 316 | 354 | 379 | 383 | 417 | 453 | 623 | 723 | 265 | 185 | 135 | 99 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2\% | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 4.7\% | 4.6\% | 4.9\% | 5.4\% | 5.8\% | 5.9\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 9.6\% | 11.1\% | 4.1\% | 2.8\% | 2.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% |
| 1999 | 12 | 25 | 7,090 | 10.0 | 10 | 281 | 334 | 314 | 336 | 321 | 393 | 400 | 462 | 483 | 538 | 724 | 878 | 299 | 214 | 165 | 133 | 113 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0\% | 4.7\% | 4.4\% | 4.7\% | 4.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.6\% | 6.5\% | 6.8\% | 7.6\% | 10.2\% | 12.4\% | 4.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 1.2\% |
| 1998 | 11 | 24 | 7,268 | 9.4 | 9 | 302 | 331 | 339 | 381 | 386 | 432 | 485 | 493 | 568 | 807 | 967 | 326 | 246 | 193 | 136 | 122 | 97 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2\% | 4.6\% | 4.7\% | 5.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.9\% | 6.7\% | 6.8\% | 7.8\% | 11.1\% | 13.3\% | 4.5\% | 3.4\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| 1997 | 10 | 23 | 7,935 | 8.9 | 9 | 318 | 351 | 421 | 451 | 481 | 543 | 559 | 653 | 930 | 1,197 | 425 | 294 | 225 | 156 | 148 | 103 | 103 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 5.3\% | 5.7\% | 6.1\% | 6.8\% | 7.0\% | 8.2\% | 11.7\% | 15.1\% | 5.4\% | 3.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% |
| 1996 | 9 | 22 | 8,642 | 8.3 | 8 | 369 | 460 | 502 | 506 | 590 | 625 | 787 | 1,092 | 1,404 | 502 | 323 | 248 | 169 | 168 | 114 | 118 | 90 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 5.8\% | 5.9\% | 6.8\% | 7.2\% | 9.1\% | 12.6\% | 16.2\% | 5.8\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% |
| 1995 | 8 | 21 | 9,286 | 7.7 | 7 | 448 | 511 | 539 | 663 | 693 | 884 | 1,250 | 1,664 | 603 | 385 | 286 | 200 | 172 | 139 | 121 | 104 | 114 | 91 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8\% | 5.5\% | 5.8\% | 7.1\% | 7.5\% | 9.5\% | 13.5\% | 17.9\% | 6.5\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
| 1994 | 7 | 20 | 9,802 | 7.1 | 6 | 522 | 597 | 656 | 758 | 981 | 1,388 | 1,985 | 668 | 436 | 296 | 230 | 176 | 151 | 150 | 121 | 128 | 108 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.3\% | 6.1\% | 6.7\% | 7.7\% | 10.0\% | 14.2\% | 20.3\% | 6.8\% | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
| 1993 | 6 | 19 | 10,049 | 6.4 | 6 | 519 | 707 | 812 | 1,042 | 1,529 | 2,237 | 774 | 474 | 349 | 228 | 200 | 178 | 169 | 140 | 113 | 126 | 106 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.2\% | 7.0\% | 8.1\% | 10.4\% | 15.2\% | 22.3\% | 7.7\% | 4.7\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
| 1992 | 5 | 18 | 10,748 | 5.7 | 5 | 706 | 861 | 1,223 | 1,706 | 2,635 | 957 | 538 | 380 | 283 | 237 | 171 | 180 | 158 | 121 | 118 | 114 | 107 | 253 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.6\% | 8.0\% | 11.4\% | 15.9\% | 24.5\% | 8.9\% | 5.0\% | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% |
| 1991 | 4 | 17 | 11,136 | 5.0 | 4 | 818 | 1,279 | 1,901 | 3,129 | 1,111 | 595 | 454 | 296 | 255 | 188 | 190 | 162 | 160 | 128 | 104 | 94 | 272 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.3\% | 11.5\% | 17.1\% | 28.1\% | 10.0\% | 5.3\% | 4.1\% | 2.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 2.4\% |  |
| 1990 | 3 | 16 | 11,460 | 4.4 | 3 | 1,216 | 2,069 | 3,673 | 1,312 | 667 | 475 | 333 | 286 | 209 | 217 | 198 | 162 | 164 | 127 | 101 | 251 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.6\% | 18.1\% | 32.1\% | 11.4\% | 5.8\% | 4.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.5\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% |  |  |
| 1989 | 2 | 15 | 10,752 | 3.7 | 2 | 1,792 | 4,122 | 1,533 | 780 | 514 | 370 | 288 | 224 | 194 | 185 | 160 | 154 | 110 | 84 | 242 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.7\% | 38.3\% | 14.3\% | 7.3\% | 4.8\% | 3.4\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 1 | 14 | 10,516 | 3.1 | 2 | 4,984 | 1,887 | 939 | 559 | 411 | 309 | 244 | 205 | 192 | 177 | 164 | 117 | 94 | 234 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 47.4\% | 17.9\% | 8.9\% | 5.3\% | 3.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% |  |  |  |  |

Table 28b. Mean and Median Cumulative Career Longevity and the Number of Unique Crewmember License Holders WITH a CFEC Permit by Career Longevity, by License Year (cont'd)

| License Year | Available Years of License Data | Available Years of Permit Data | No. of Unique License Holders | Longevity (in years) |  | Number of License Holders by Cumulative Career Longevity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean | Median | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | 22 Years | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ |
| 2007 | 20 | 33 | 3,438 | 15.3 | 16 | 228 | 256 | 104 | 85 | 74 | 52 | 36 | 35 | 39 | 41 | 31 | 39 | 33 | 22 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.6\% | 7.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.1\% |
| 2006 | 19 | 32 | 3,719 | 14.2 | 14 | 272 | 110 | 87 | 77 | 54 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 37 | 30 | 42 | 33 | 25 | 69 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 1.5\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% |  |
| 2005 | 18 | 31 | 4,108 | 13.3 | 13 | 124 | 93 | 76 | 54 | 48 | 51 | 49 | 39 | 29 | 52 | 43 | 33 | 67 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% |  |  |
| 2004 | 17 | 30 | 4,363 | 12.6 | 13 | 122 | 79 | 68 | 55 | 50 | 55 | 38 | 24 | 51 | 39 | 34 | 78 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 16 | 29 | 4,526 | 12.1 | 12 | 92 | 68 | 64 | 59 | 69 | 38 | 33 | 52 | 42 | 39 | 87 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 15 | 28 | 4,433 | 11.6 | 12 | 66 | 60 | 47 | 63 | 38 | 30 | 47 | 45 | 37 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 14 | 27 | 5,141 | 11.0 | 11 | 80 | 52 | 73 | 46 | 46 | 60 | 44 | 46 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 13 | 26 | 6,502 | 10.4 | 10 | $80$ | $88$ | 67 | 63 | $76$ | $56$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | $0.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 12 | 25 | 7,090 | 10.0 | 10 | 91 | 74 | 64 | 76 | 75 | 65 | 169 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 11 | 24 | 7,268 | 9.4 | 9 | 93 | 67 | 76 | 76 | 71 | 174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 10 | 23 | 7,935 | 8.9 | 9 | 75 | 75 | 82 | 73 | 188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 9 | 22 | 8,642 | 8.3 | 8 | 85 | 91 | 90 | 209 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 8 | 21 | 9,286 | 7.7 | 7 | 108 | 97 | 214 | Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 7 | 20 | 9,802 | 7.1 | 6 | 97 | 247 |  |  |  | mula | car | ong | ref | o the | mbe | yea | ch | merc | ew |

1. Cumulative career longevity refers to the number of years each commercial crewmember license holder held a license between 1988 and a particular year and held a CFEC permit between 1975 and a particular year.
2. Cumulative career longevity values and the descriptive statistics should be viewed as underestimates, because not all years of crewmember licenses could be included in the longevity determination.
3. The crewmember license holder must have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit or been an emergency transfer holder in at least 1 year between 1975 and 2007 to be classified as having a permit.
4. The total number of crewmember license holders in a year may differ from other CFEC reports because of improvements/changes in the identification of unique individuals and the assignment of identification numbers. As a result of the improved/changed identification, longevity attributes may be different for individuals for this analysis than in other CFEC reports.
5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

Table 29. Mean and Median Cumulative Career Longevity and the Number of Unique Crewmember License Holders WITH a CFEC Permit by Career Longevity, by License Year, 1988 to 2007 Data Only


### 8.0 Sequence of Commercial Crewmember License and CFEC Permit Holdings

There is a widely held belief that some participants in commercial fishing begin as crewmembers then work their way up to holding permits and acting as skippers. One of the goals of this work was to determine if that was reflected in the data. Unfortunately, with the different years of crewmember license and CFEC permit data available, it may not be possible to answer this question definitively. Two attempts were made to address whether individuals held crewmember licenses or CFEC permits first, but each analysis is handicapped by the data constraints previously discussed. Table 30 presents the initial career holdings of individuals that have held crewmember licenses and CFEC commercial fishing permits based on those two analyses. Initial career holding refers to what was held first in the course of an individual's commercial fishing career, a commercial crewmember license, a CFEC commercial fishing permit, or both in the same year.

Table 30. Initial Career Holding of Crewmember License Holders with a CFEC Commercial Fishing Permit

| Initial Career Holding | Including Permit Data ‘75-‘07 |  | Including Permit Data ‘88-‘07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crewmember License | 11,968 | 39.6\% | 19,794 | 65.5\% |
| CFEC Permit | 16,809 | 55.6\% | 7,691 | 25.5\% |
| Both | 1,448 | 4.8\% | 2,740 | 9.0\% |
| Total | 30,225 |  | 30,225 |  |

> Notes:
> 1. Initial Career Holding refers to what was held first in the course of an individual's commercial fishing career, a commercial crewmember license or a CFEC commercial fishing permit. 'Both' indicates that a crewmember license and a CFEC permit were both held in the same year of an individual's first appearance in the data.
> 2. Crewmember license holdings between 1988 and 2007 and CFEC permit holdings between 1975 and 2007 or 1988 and 2007 were considered for the initial career holding for each individual.
> 3. Initial career holding should be viewed as estimates, because not all the years of crewmember licenses could be included in the determination and for the 1988-2007 column, not all the years of CFEC permits were included. 4. To be classified as a permit holder, the crewmember license holder must have held a CFEC commercial fishing permit or been an emergency transfer holder in at least 1 year between 1975 and 2007.
> 5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).

When CFEC permit data between 1975 and 2007 and crewmember license data between 1988 and 2007 were reviewed, a majority of individuals appear to have held a CFEC permit first in their commercial fishing career ( 16,809 of $30,225,55.6 \%$ ). This is contrary to the belief that most individuals participate as crewmembers and work their way up to being the skipper. The result is not surprising considering the structure of the data used, however. Anyone that held a permit between 1975 and 1987 would be automatically flagged as holding a permit first, because any crewmember license holdings prior to 1988 are not accounted for. It is easy to imagine that there are individuals that held a crewmember license at some point in those 13 years between 1975 and 1987 that should, in reality, be credited with a crewmember license for the beginning of their commercial fishing career.

When CFEC permit data were restricted to 1988 through 2007, to match the time-period for which crewmember license data were available, the results changed drastically. Now a majority of the individuals appear to have held a crewmember license first in their commercial fishing career (19,794 of $30,225,65.5 \%$ ) and follows with the belief that most individuals participate as crewmembers and then become skippers. Unfortunately, looking at the
data this way is flawed as well. For example, there are individuals with a crewmember license at some point between 1988 and 2007 which only have permit holdings before 1988. As a result in this second analysis, they are automatically flagged as holding a crewmember license first, because their permit holdings are not accounted for. ${ }^{18}$ But like the preceding method, any crewmember license holdings prior to 1988 are not accounted for either.

Because of the existing data constraints, it is probably best not to make any generalizations or sweeping statements about the sequence of crewmember license and CFEC permit holdings.

[^16]
### 9.0 Summary

Despite differences in the ADF\&G commercial crewmember license data and the CFEC permit data, it was possible to identify the same individuals within both sources of information. In fact, 30,225 people were identified as having held a crewmember license at some time between 1988 and 2007 and also a CFEC permit at some time between 1975 and 2007. The 30,225 individuals account for $16.7 \%$ of the 181,056 estimated crewmember license holders over the 1988 to 2007 time period.

Although participation data are not collected for crewmembers, it is possible to make some generalizations about their commercial fishing careers based simply on license and permit holdings. For example, crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit tend to have held crewmember licenses in more years than crewmembers that have not held a CFEC permit. The mean cumulative license longevity of crewmember license holders in 2007 that have held a CFEC permit is 9.7 years as opposed to 4.6 years for those that have not held a CFEC permit. When their CFEC permit holdings are taken into consideration, the mean length of crewmembers' commercial fishing careers are extended even further. The mean cumulative career longevity of crewmember license holders in 2007 that have held a CFEC permit is 15.3 years, if all 33 years of permit data are considered, or 13.3 years when permit data between 1988 and 2007 only are considered.

Because crewmember license data prior to 1988 and after 2007 could not be incorporated in this analysis, the license longevity and career longevity values are potentially underestimated. The crewmember license holdings prior to 1988 could increase the length of some individuals’ crewmember license histories and therefore increase the length of their licensing/permitting careers as well. A second drawback that results because crewmember license data prior to 1988 could not be incorporated in this analysis is the inability to determine whether individuals held a crewmember license or a CFEC permit first in their commercial fishing career. There is no definitive way to show crewmembers advance from crewing to skippering commercial fishing operations through the available data.

In spite of the limitations with the data, analysis of demographic information associated with the crewmember licenses reveals some interesting findings. For example, Alaska residents account for $72.1 \%$ of the crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit. Nearly a quarter of resident crewmember license holders have held a CFEC permit compared to just $9.0 \%$ of nonresident crewmember license holders.

The South Central region has had the largest number of crewmember license holders, but Western Alaska accounts for the largest number of Alaska resident crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit. In addition, the Western region has the highest percentage of its license holders to have held a CFEC permit. Of the Alaskan census areas, the Kenai Peninsula Borough has contributed the largest number of crewmember license holders as well as the largest number of crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit, but the Yakutat City and Borough has seen the highest percentage of its crewmember license holders with CFEC permits. While urban license holders comprise a slightly larger percentage of the resident crewmember license holders between 1988 and 2007, rural license holders make up a larger percentage of those that have also held CFEC permits.

The number of crewmember license holders in each year has declined between 1988 and 2007. The decline is seen for crewmembers overall, for residents and nonresidents, for each Alaskan region, in all Alaskan census areas, and for license holders from rural and urban communities. The number of crewmember license holders that have held a CFEC permit has also dropped from 1988 to 2007. The decline in this case is seen for license holders overall, for residents and nonresidents, in several regions of the state but not all, for most Alaskan census areas, and for license holders from both rural and urban communities. The decline in crewmember license holders with a CFEC permit may simply reflect data constraints, as permit data after 2007 have not been included in the analysis, or it may reflect decreases in entry level opportunities and/or the consolidation of numerous commercial fisheries. The addition of permit data following 2007 might mitigate the decline somewhat.

Although there are limitations to the data upon which these analyses were based and results must be viewed as estimates, this report is able to provide a more complete picture of commercial fishermen's combined license and permit history than ever before. It was possible to make generalizations about the careers of commercial fishermen, as captured through the ADF\&G crewmember licensing and CFEC permit data, and to identify permit holding patterns of crewmember license holders based on a variety of demographic traits.

## Appendix A - Crewmember License Holder Residency Assignments

The residency of an individual's commercial crewmember license was determined by the following criteria:

1. If the class code on a commercial crewmember license indicated the individual paid for a resident or nonresident license, then the license residency was either resident or nonresident. ${ }^{19}$
2. If the class code failed to indicate a residency, such as for duplicate licenses, child licenses, or unknown codes, then the residency assignment was based upon information in the original class code field. ${ }^{20}$ If the original class code indicated the individual paid for a resident or nonresident license, then the license residency was either resident or nonresident.
3. If the class code failed to indicate a residency, and the original class code did not indicate the residency of the initial license either, then the license residency was flagged as unknown. ${ }^{21}$

Although it does not occur that often, it is possible for an individual to have resident and nonresident licenses within the same year. ${ }^{22}$ An individual's year-beginning residency was determined in the following manner:

1. If the license with the earliest issue date for the year is a resident or nonresident license, then yearbeginning residency is based on the license with the earliest issue date.
2. If the license with the earliest issue date for the year has an unknown residency, then the year-beginning residency is based on the earliest non-unknown license residency.
3. If the first license issued in the year has an unknown residency, and no other license in the year indicates a residency, then the corrected state value for the license with the earliest issue date designates the residency. ${ }^{23}$

An individual's year-end residency was determined in the following manner:

1. If the license with the latest issue date for the year is a resident or nonresident license, then year-ending residency is based on the license with the latest issue date.
2. If the license with the latest issue date for the year has an unknown residency, then the year-ending residency is based on the latest non-unknown license residency.
3. If the last license issued in the year has an unknown residency, and no other license in the year indicates a residency, then the corrected state value for the license with the latest issue date designates the residency. ${ }^{24}$
[^17]Because an individual's residency may change over the course of time, it can be difficult to label an individual as simply a resident or a nonresident. As such, a career-beginning and career-end residency were determined for each license holder. The career-beginning residency was assigned as follows:

1. The year-beginning residency of the first year in which an individual held a license between 1988 and 2007 is the career-beginning residency.

The career-ending residency was assigned as follows:

1. The year-end residency of the final year in which an individual held a license between 1988 and 2007 is assigned as the career-end residency. ${ }^{25}$

A residency change over the course of a career is more common than a residency change for licenses held within a single year. Of all license holders, $6.4 \%$ have shown at least one change in residency over the course of their license holding career ( 11,509 of 181,056 license holders). However, only $4.6 \%$ have a different residency at the end of their crewmember license career than at the beginning of their license career. There are 3,113 license holders that have a residency change over the course of their license career, but that revert back to their original residency by career end.
was corrected to 'WA'. If the city was Pebble Beach, Chico, or Daly City and the state was 'AK' then the state field was corrected to 'CA'. If the city indicated on a license was Astoria and the state was 'AK' then the state field was corrected to 'OR'. The state field was not modified for any other licenses. If the corrected state was 'AK' then the license holder is assumed to be a resident. All other values in the corrected state field resulted in classification as a nonresident.
${ }^{25}$ The career-end residency for each license holder was compared to another potential residency assignment, one based upon the count of year-end resident and year-end nonresident flags for each individual. This comparison was performed in order to confirm whether career-end residency could be an appropriate overall flag for residency. Because the career-end residency and year-end residency counts assigned the same overall residency flag for $98.6 \%$ of the individuals, the career-end residency could be used to describe each license holder's residency.

## Appendix B - Resident License Holders with and without a Permit by Year Beginning Census Area and Year

|  | Arctic Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interior Region Denali Borough |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Slope Borough |  |  |  |  | Northwest Arctic Borough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total |
| 1988 | 5 | 29.4\% | 12 | 70.6\% | 17 | 130 | 31.3\% | 285 | 68.7\% | 415 | 2 | 14.3\% | 12 | 85.7\% | 14 |
| 1989 | 2 | 15.4\% | 11 | 84.6\% | 13 | 83 | 28.3\% | 210 | 71.7\% | 293 | 4 | 26.7\% | 11 | 73.3\% | 15 |
| 1990 | 3 | 33.3\% | 6 | 66.7\% | 9 | 78 | 29.4\% | 187 | 70.6\% | 265 | 2 | 14.3\% | 12 | 85.7\% | 14 |
| 1991 | 3 | 33.3\% | 6 | 66.7\% | 9 | 63 | 25.1\% | 188 | 74.9\% | 251 | 2 | 13.3\% | 13 | 86.7\% | 15 |
| 1992 | 3 | 33.3\% | 6 | 66.7\% | 9 | 63 | 24.9\% | 190 | 75.1\% | 253 | 1 | 12.5\% | 7 | 87.5\% | 8 |
| 1993 | 3 | 37.5\% | 5 | 62.5\% | 8 | 52 | 31.1\% | 115 | 68.9\% | 167 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 100\% | 4 |
| 1994 | 3 | 42.9\% | 4 | 57.1\% | 7 | 45 | 28.1\% | 115 | 71.9\% | 160 | 1 | 16.7\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 6 |
| 1995 | 2 | 33.3\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 6 | 35 | 27.6\% | 92 | 72.4\% | 127 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 100\% | 6 |
| 1996 | 2 | 33.3\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 6 | 16 | 23.9\% | 51 | 76.1\% | 67 | 1 | 16.7\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 6 |
| 1997 | 2 | 22.2\% | 7 | 77.8\% | 9 | 24 | 24.7\% | 73 | 75.3\% | 97 | 1 | 12.5\% | 7 | 87.5\% | 8 |
| 1998 | 1 | 14.3\% | 6 | 85.7\% | 7 | 16 | 24.6\% | 49 | 75.4\% | 65 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100\% | 3 |
| 1999 | 3 | 30.0\% | 7 | 70.0\% | 10 | 23 | 27.1\% | 62 | 72.9\% | 85 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 100\% | 4 |
| 2000 | 2 | 25.0\% | 6 | 75.0\% | 8 | 23 | 22.5\% | 79 | 77.5\% | 102 | 1 | 20.0\% | 4 | 80.0\% | 5 |
| 2001 | 2 | 28.6\% | 5 | 71.4\% | 7 | 20 | 19.2\% | 84 | 80.8\% | 104 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 |
| 2002 | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 1 | 33.3\% | 2 | 66.7\% | 3 | 2 | 28.6\% | 5 | 71.4\% | 7 |
| 2003 | 2 | 40.0\% | 3 | 60.0\% | 5 | 1 | 33.3\% | 2 | 66.7\% | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 100\% | 4 |
| 2004 | 2 | 33.3\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 6 | 18 | 27.7\% | 47 | 72.3\% | 65 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 100\% | 5 |
| 2005 | 1 | 14.3\% | 6 | 85.7\% | 7 | 15 | 25.4\% | 44 | 74.6\% | 59 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100\% | 2 |
| 2006 | 2 | 28.6\% | 5 | 71.4\% | 7 | 15 | 23.1\% | 50 | 76.9\% | 65 | 1 | 25.0\% | 3 | 75.0\% | 4 |
| 2007 | 2 | 33.3\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 6 | 11 | 18.6\% | 48 | 81.4\% | 59 | 2 | 33.3\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 6 |
|  | Interior Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fairbanks North Star Borough |  |  |  |  | Southeast Fairbanks Census Area |  |  |  |  | Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area |  |  |  |  |
| Year | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total |
| 1988 | 66 | 21.6\% | 240 | 78.4\% | 306 | 7 | 20.0\% | 28 | 80.0\% | 35 | 81 | 28.3\% | 205 | 71.7\% | 286 |
| 1989 | 58 | 21.0\% | 218 | 79.0\% | 276 | 4 | 20.0\% | 16 | 80.0\% | 20 | 86 | 25.3\% | 254 | 74.7\% | 340 |
| 1990 | 52 | 20.2\% | 206 | 79.8\% | 258 | 14 | 48.3\% | 15 | 51.7\% | 29 | 58 | 24.2\% | 182 | 75.8\% | 240 |
| 1991 | 60 | 22.5\% | 207 | 77.5\% | 267 | 9 | 25.7\% | 26 | 74.3\% | 35 | 56 | 28.9\% | 138 | 71.1\% | 194 |
| 1992 | 59 | 22.1\% | 208 | 77.9\% | 267 | 5 | 17.9\% | 23 | 82.1\% | 28 | 64 | 29.5\% | 153 | 70.5\% | 217 |
| 1993 | 56 | 25.8\% | 161 | 74.2\% | 217 | 7 | 23.3\% | 23 | 76.7\% | 30 | 46 | 25.1\% | 137 | 74.9\% | 183 |
| 1994 | 57 | 28.6\% | 142 | 71.4\% | 199 | 4 | 13.8\% | 25 | 86.2\% | 29 | 54 | 29.3\% | 130 | 70.7\% | 184 |
| 1995 | 42 | 23.9\% | 134 | 76.1\% | 176 | 5 | 31.3\% | 11 | 68.8\% | 16 | 43 | 22.3\% | 150 | 77.7\% | 193 |
| 1996 | 34 | 21.3\% | 126 | 78.8\% | 160 | 8 | 42.1\% | 11 | 57.9\% | 19 | 32 | 14.9\% | 183 | 85.1\% | 215 |
| 1997 | 34 | 24.6\% | 104 | 75.4\% | 138 | 3 | 23.1\% | 10 | 76.9\% | 13 | 12 | 25.0\% | 36 | 75.0\% | 48 |
| 1998 | 23 | 24.2\% | 72 | 75.8\% | 95 | 4 | 28.6\% | 10 | 71.4\% | 14 | 11 | 37.9\% | 18 | 62.1\% | 29 |
| 1999 | 26 | 28.0\% | 67 | 72.0\% | 93 | 2 | 12.5\% | 14 | 87.5\% | 16 | 23 | 45.1\% | 28 | 54.9\% | 51 |
| 2000 | 26 | 26.0\% | 74 | 74.0\% | 100 | 3 | 37.5\% | 5 | 62.5\% | 8 | 10 | 33.3\% | 20 | 66.7\% | 30 |
| 2001 | 29 | 28.7\% | 72 | 71.3\% | 101 | 3 | 75.0\% | 1 | 25.0\% | 4 | 8 | 53.3\% | 7 | 46.7\% | 15 |
| 2002 | 19 | 29.7\% | 45 | 70.3\% | 64 | 10 | 66.7\% | 5 | 33.3\% | 15 | 7 | 50.0\% | 7 | 50.0\% | 14 |
| 2003 | 20 | 29.4\% | 48 | 70.6\% | 68 | 9 | 52.9\% | 8 | 47.1\% | 17 | 7 | 28.0\% | 18 | 72.0\% | 25 |
| 2004 | 18 | 27.3\% | 48 | 72.7\% | 66 | 9 | 64.3\% | 5 | 35.7\% | 14 | 4 | 23.5\% | 13 | 76.5\% | 17 |
| 2005 | 22 | 32.8\% | 45 | 67.2\% | 67 | 10 | 62.5\% | 6 | 37.5\% | 16 | 4 | 23.5\% | 13 | 76.5\% | 17 |
| 2006 | 11 | 19.0\% | 47 | 81.0\% | 58 | 7 | 33.3\% | 14 | 66.7\% | 21 | 3 | 13.0\% | 20 | 87.0\% | 23 |
| 2007 | 18 | 20.2\% | 71 | 79.8\% | 89 | 4 | 22.2\% | 14 | 77.8\% | 18 | 7 | 28.0\% | 18 | 72.0\% | 25 |





| Year | Western Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lake and Peninsula Borough |  |  |  |  | Nome Census Area |  |  |  |  | Wade Hampton Census Area |  |  |  |  |
|  | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  | Total | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  |  | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit |  |  |
| 1988 | 234 | 51.3\% | 222 | 48.7\% | 456 | 255 | 53.2\% | 224 | 46.8\% | 479 | 557 | 49.5\% | 568 | 50.5\% | 1,125 |
| 1989 | 220 | 49.3\% | 226 | 50.7\% | 446 | 219 | 53.5\% | 190 | 46.5\% | 409 | 548 | 48.8\% | 574 | 51.2\% | $1,122$ |
| 1990 | 220 | 46.8\% | 250 | 53.2\% | 470 | 222 | 53.6\% | 192 | 46.4\% | 414 | 444 | 45.1\% | 540 | 54.9\% | 984 |
| 1991 | 212 | 49.6\% | 215 | 50.4\% | 427 | 224 | 53.0\% | 199 | 47.0\% | 423 | 479 | 44.6\% | 594 | 55.4\% | 1,073 |
| 1992 | 217 | 50.0\% | 217 | 50.0\% | 434 | 101 | 57.1\% | 76 | 42.9\% | 177 | 467 | 44.1\% | 592 | 55.9\% | 1,059 |
| 1993 | 217 | 47.9\% | 236 | 52.1\% | 453 | 207 | 51.6\% | 194 | 48.4\% | 401 | 452 | 46.7\% | 515 | 53.3\% | 967 |
| 1994 | 197 | 45.4\% | 237 | 54.6\% | 434 | 200 | 47.1\% | 225 | 52.9\% | 425 | 414 | 44.8\% | 510 | 55.2\% | 924 |
| 1995 | 209 | 45.6\% | 249 | 54.4\% | 458 | 179 | 46.4\% | 207 | 53.6\% | 386 | 422 | 43.7\% | 544 | 56.3\% | 966 |
| 1996 | 189 | 44.8\% | 233 | 55.2\% | 422 | 168 | 40.2\% | 250 | 59.8\% | 418 | 411 | 41.1\% | 589 | 58.9\% | 1,000 |
| 1997 | 178 | 44.2\% | 225 | 55.8\% | 403 | 138 | 41.2\% | 197 | 58.8\% | 335 | 357 | 37.8\% | 587 | 62.2\% | 944 |
| 1998 | 157 | 42.9\% | 209 | 57.1\% | 366 | 75 | 37.7\% | 124 | 62.3\% | 199 | 325 | 37.1\% | 550 | 62.9\% | 875 |
| 1999 | 180 | 42.4\% | 245 | 57.6\% | 425 | 102 | 42.9\% | 136 | 57.1\% | 238 | 318 | 33.4\% | 634 | 66.6\% | 952 |
| 2000 | 166 | 39.8\% | 251 | 60.2\% | 417 | 88 | 44.7\% | 109 | 55.3\% | 197 | 272 | 34.3\% | 521 | 65.7\% | 793 |
| 2001 | 135 | 36.9\% | 231 | 63.1\% | 366 | 51 | 38.1\% | 83 | 61.9\% | 134 | 50 | 49.5\% | 51 | 50.5\% | 101 |
| 2002 | 92 | 39.1\% | 143 | 60.9\% | 235 | 34 | 34.0\% | 66 | 66.0\% | 100 | 210 | 33.0\% | 427 | 67.0\% | 637 |
| 2003 | 99 | 35.1\% | 183 | 64.9\% | 282 | 50 | 38.8\% | 79 | 61.2\% | 129 | 220 | 31.6\% | 477 | 68.4\% | 697 |
| 2004 | 81 | 34.6\% | 153 | 65.4\% | 234 | 20 | 23.3\% | 66 | 76.7\% | 86 | 175 | 27.2\% | 468 | 72.8\% | 643 |
| 2005 | 91 | 32.5\% | 189 | 67.5\% | 280 | 45 | 26.2\% | 127 | 73.8\% | 172 | 171 | 24.8\% | 518 | 75.2\% | 689 |
| 2006 | 77 | 30.3\% | 177 | 69.7\% | 254 | 43 | 25.3\% | 127 | 74.7\% | 170 | 179 | 22.7\% | 610 | 77.3\% | 789 |
| 2007 | 67 | 29.4\% | 161 | 70.6\% | 228 | 29 | 17.8\% | 134 | 82.2\% | 163 | 149 | 19.8\% | 602 | 80.2\% | 751 |


|  | Unknown Census Area |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | With a Permit |  | Without a Permit | Total |  |
| 1988 | 45 | $26.9 \%$ | 122 | $73.1 \%$ | 167 |
| 1989 | 52 | $25.7 \%$ | 150 | $74.3 \%$ | 202 |
| 1990 | 58 | $24.8 \%$ | 176 | $75.2 \%$ | 234 |
| 1991 | 43 | $19.7 \%$ | 175 | $80.3 \%$ | 218 |
| 1992 | 59 | $24.4 \%$ | 183 | $75.6 \%$ | 242 |
| 1993 | 51 | $24.6 \%$ | 156 | $75.4 \%$ | 207 |
| 1994 | 37 | $22.6 \%$ | 127 | $77.4 \%$ | 164 |
| 1995 | 33 | $20.2 \%$ | 130 | $79.8 \%$ | 163 |
| 1996 | 35 | $30.4 \%$ | 80 | $69.6 \%$ | 115 |
| 1997 | 18 | $23.1 \%$ | 60 | $76.9 \%$ | 78 |
| 1998 | 103 | $34.4 \%$ | 196 | $65.6 \%$ | 299 |
| 1999 | 19 | $22.1 \%$ | 67 | $77.9 \%$ | 86 |
| 2000 | 21 | $28.0 \%$ | 54 | $72.0 \%$ | 75 |
| 2001 | 9 | $16.7 \%$ | 45 | $83.3 \%$ | 54 |
| 2002 | 17 | $27.4 \%$ | 45 | $72.6 \%$ | 62 |
| 2003 | 11 | $15.9 \%$ | 58 | $84.1 \%$ | 69 |
| 2004 | 6 | $12.0 \%$ | 44 | $88.0 \%$ | 50 |
| 2005 | 14 | $23.3 \%$ | 46 | $76.7 \%$ | 60 |
| 2006 | 13 | $20.6 \%$ | 50 | $79.4 \%$ | 63 |
| 2007 | 10 | $10.9 \%$ | 82 | $89.1 \%$ | 92 |

[^18]Appendix C - Resident Commercial Crewmember License Holders with a Permit by Census Area and Year

|  | Arctic Region |  |  |  | Interior Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Central Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | North Slope Borough |  | Northwest Arctic Bor. |  | Denali Borough |  | Fairbanks North Star Borough |  | Southeast <br> Fairbanks CA |  | YukonKoyukuk CA |  | Anchorage Municipality |  | Kenai Peninsula Borough |  | MatanuskaSusitna Borough |  |
| 1988 | 5 | 0.06\% | 130 | 1.61\% | 2 | 0.02\% | 66 | 0.82\% | 7 | 0.09\% | 81 | 1.00\% | 677 | 8.39\% | 1,213 | 15.03\% | 159 | 1.97\% |
| 1989 | 2 | 0.02\% | 83 | 1.01\% | 4 | 0.05\% | 58 | 0.71\% | 4 | 0.05\% | 86 | 1.05\% | 652 | 7.96\% | 1,225 | 14.95\% | 147 | 1.79\% |
| 1990 | 3 | 0.04\% | 78 | 0.92\% | 2 | 0.02\% | 52 | 0.61\% | 14 | 0.16\% | 58 | 0.68\% | 662 | 7.77\% | 1,324 | 15.55\% | 187 | 2.20\% |
| 1991 | 3 | 0.04\% | 63 | 0.76\% | 2 | 0.02\% | 60 | 0.73\% | 9 | 0.11\% | 56 | 0.68\% | 687 | 8.34\% | 1,226 | 14.89\% | 163 | 1.98\% |
| 1992 | 3 | 0.04\% | 63 | 0.79\% | 1 | 0.01\% | 59 | 0.74\% | 5 | 0.06\% | 64 | 0.81\% | 689 | 8.69\% | 1,182 | 14.91\% | 152 | 1.92\% |
| 1993 | 3 | 0.04\% | 52 | 0.70\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 56 | 0.76\% | 7 | 0.09\% | 46 | 0.62\% | 578 | 7.83\% | 1,084 | 14.69\% | 142 | 1.92\% |
| 1994 | 3 | 0.04\% | 45 | 0.62\% | 1 | 0.01\% | 57 | 0.79\% | 4 | 0.06\% | 54 | 0.75\% | 549 | 7.62\% | 1,042 | 14.46\% | 128 | 1.78\% |
| 1995 | 2 | 0.03\% | 35 | 0.52\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 42 | 0.63\% | 5 | 0.07\% | 43 | 0.64\% | 536 | 8.02\% | 950 | 14.21\% | 119 | 1.78\% |
| 1996 | 2 | 0.03\% | 16 | 0.26\% | 1 | 0.02\% | 34 | 0.54\% | 8 | 0.13\% | 32 | 0.51\% | 460 | 7.37\% | 877 | 14.05\% | 134 | 2.15\% |
| 1997 | 2 | 0.03\% | 24 | 0.42\% | 1 | 0.02\% | 34 | 0.59\% | 3 | 0.05\% | 12 | 0.21\% | 439 | 7.64\% | 852 | 14.83\% | 120 | 2.09\% |
| 1998 | 1 | 0.02\% | 16 | 0.31\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 23 | 0.44\% | 4 | 0.08\% | 11 | 0.21\% | 357 | 6.82\% | 751 | 14.35\% | 112 | 2.14\% |
| 1999 | 3 | 0.06\% | 23 | 0.44\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 26 | 0.50\% | 2 | 0.04\% | 23 | 0.44\% | 377 | 7.20\% | 724 | 13.84\% | 101 | 1.93\% |
| 2000 | 2 | 0.04\% | 23 | 0.49\% | 1 | 0.02\% | 26 | 0.55\% | 3 | 0.06\% | 10 | 0.21\% | 349 | 7.41\% | 665 | 14.12\% | 95 | 2.02\% |
| 2001 | 2 | 0.05\% | 20 | 0.54\% | 1 | 0.03\% | 29 | 0.78\% | 3 | 0.08\% | 8 | 0.22\% | 282 | 7.61\% | 535 | 14.44\% | 66 | 1.78\% |
| 2002 | 2 | 0.06\% | 1 | 0.03\% | 2 | 0.06\% | 19 | 0.58\% | 10 | 0.31\% | 7 | 0.21\% | 242 | 7.40\% | 462 | 14.12\% | 49 | 1.50\% |
| 2003 | 2 | 0.06\% | 1 | 0.03\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 20 | 0.62\% | 9 | 0.28\% | 7 | 0.22\% | 273 | 8.39\% | 477 | 14.67\% | 70 | 2.15\% |
| 2004 | 2 | 0.06\% | 18 | 0.58\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 18 | 0.58\% | 9 | 0.29\% | 4 | 0.13\% | 228 | 7.39\% | 462 | 14.97\% | 69 | 2.24\% |
| 2005 | 1 | 0.03\% | 15 | 0.51\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 22 | 0.75\% | 10 | 0.34\% | 4 | 0.14\% | 221 | 7.53\% | 431 | 14.69\% | 62 | 2.11\% |
| 2006 | 2 | 0.08\% | 15 | 0.57\% | 1 | 0.04\% | 11 | 0.42\% | 7 | 0.26\% | 3 | 0.11\% | 215 | 8.13\% | 345 | 13.05\% | 58 | 2.19\% |
| 2007 | 2 | 0.08\% | 11 | 0.45\% | 2 | 0.08\% | 18 | 0.73\% | 4 | 0.16\% | 7 | 0.28\% | 208 | 8.46\% | 326 | 13.26\% | 58 | 2.36\% |


| Year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { South Central } \\ \hline \text { Valdez- } \\ \text { Cordova CA } \end{gathered}$ |  | Southeast Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | es | Juneau and B | City ough | Ke Gate | ikan <br> Bor. | Pr. of W Ketch | -Outer <br> CA | Sitka City and Borough |  | Skagway-HoonahAngoon CA |  | WrangellPetersburg CA |  | Yakutat City and Borough |  |
| 1988 | 351 | 4.35\% | 64 | 0.79\% | 289 | 3.58\% | 252 | 3.12\% | 203 | 2.52\% | 350 | 4.34\% | 137 | 1.70\% | 383 | 4.75\% | 68 | 0.84\% |
| 1989 | 329 | 4.01\% | 66 | 0.81\% | 311 | 3.79\% | 288 | 3.51\% | 234 | 2.86\% | 373 | 4.55\% | 163 | 1.99\% | 473 | 5.77\% | 88 | 1.07\% |
| 1990 | 429 | 5.04\% | 67 | 0.79\% | 296 | 3.48\% | 266 | 3.12\% | 240 | 2.82\% | 355 | 4.17\% | 189 | 2.22\% | 516 | 6.06\% | 84 | 0.99\% |
| 1991 | 392 | 4.76\% | 73 | 0.89\% | 308 | 3.74\% | 264 | 3.21\% | 253 | 3.07\% | 345 | 4.19\% | 165 | 2.00\% | 496 | 6.02\% | 74 | 0.90\% |
| 1992 | 384 | 4.84\% | 73 | 0.92\% | 279 | 3.52\% | 230 | 2.90\% | 227 | 2.86\% | 336 | 4.24\% | 169 | 2.13\% | 462 | 5.83\% | 72 | 0.91\% |
| 1993 | 304 | 4.12\% | 70 | 0.95\% | 264 | 3.58\% | 219 | 2.97\% | 180 | 2.44\% | 318 | 4.31\% | 155 | 2.10\% | 445 | 6.03\% | 54 | 0.73\% |
| 1994 | 300 | 4.16\% | 61 | 0.85\% | 258 | 3.58\% | 216 | 3.00\% | 184 | 2.55\% | 360 | 5.00\% | 135 | 1.87\% | 441 | 6.12\% | 65 | 0.90\% |
| 1995 | 257 | 3.84\% | 53 | 0.79\% | 176 | 2.63\% | 182 | 2.72\% | 154 | 2.30\% | 294 | 4.40\% | 122 | 1.82\% | 399 | 5.97\% | 44 | 0.66\% |
| 1996 | 225 | 3.60\% | 41 | 0.66\% | 172 | 2.76\% | 168 | 2.69\% | 161 | 2.58\% | 306 | 4.90\% | 102 | 1.63\% | 408 | 6.54\% | 41 | 0.66\% |
| 1997 | 220 | 3.83\% | 48 | 0.84\% | 185 | 3.22\% | 150 | 2.61\% | 125 | 2.18\% | 281 | 4.89\% | 93 | 1.62\% | 376 | 6.54\% | 40 | 0.70\% |
| 1998 | 180 | 3.44\% | 54 | 1.03\% | 151 | 2.88\% | 157 | 3.00\% | 145 | 2.77\% | 246 | 4.70\% | 85 | 1.62\% | 356 | 6.80\% | 37 | 0.71\% |
| 1999 | 180 | 3.44\% | 47 | 0.90\% | 149 | 2.85\% | 141 | 2.69\% | 157 | 3.00\% | 233 | 4.45\% | 86 | 1.64\% | 348 | 6.65\% | 47 | 0.90\% |
| 2000 | 180 | 3.82\% | 42 | 0.89\% | 135 | 2.87\% | 130 | 2.76\% | 139 | 2.95\% | 204 | 4.33\% | 73 | 1.55\% | 296 | 6.29\% | 28 | 0.59\% |
| 2001 | 162 | 4.37\% | 32 | 0.86\% | 116 | 3.13\% | 101 | 2.73\% | 136 | 3.67\% | 213 | 5.75\% | 65 | 1.75\% | 249 | 6.72\% | 23 | 0.62\% |
| 2002 | 147 | 4.49\% | 31 | 0.95\% | 113 | 3.45\% | 106 | 3.24\% | 116 | 3.55\% | 185 | 5.65\% | 64 | 1.96\% | 224 | 6.85\% | 8 | 0.24\% |
| 2003 | 140 | 4.31\% | 29 | 0.89\% | 102 | 3.14\% | 82 | 2.52\% | 103 | 3.17\% | 170 | 5.23\% | 52 | 1.60\% | 194 | 5.97\% | 15 | 0.46\% |
| 2004 | 118 | 3.82\% | 28 | 0.91\% | 102 | 3.31\% | 84 | 2.72\% | 116 | 3.76\% | 187 | 6.06\% | 62 | 2.01\% | 187 | 6.06\% | 17 | 0.55\% |
| 2005 | 109 | 3.72\% | 17 | 0.58\% | 90 | 3.07\% | 74 | 2.52\% | 93 | 3.17\% | 165 | 5.62\% | 54 | 1.84\% | 199 | 6.78\% | 24 | 0.82\% |
| 2006 | 100 | 3.78\% | 21 | 0.79\% | 84 | 3.18\% | 74 | 2.80\% | 76 | 2.87\% | 158 | 5.98\% | 53 | 2.00\% | 186 | 7.03\% | 25 | 0.95\% |
| 2007 | 88 | 3.58\% | 28 | 1.14\% | 78 | 3.17\% | 70 | 2.85\% | 72 | 2.93\% | 155 | 6.30\% | 45 | 1.83\% | 148 | 6.02\% | 24 | 0.98\% |


|  | Western Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Aleutians East Borough |  | Aleutians <br> West CA |  | Bethel Census Area |  | Bristol Bay Borough |  | Dillingham Census Area |  | Kodiak Island Borough |  | Lake and Peninsula Bor. |  | Nome Census Area |  | Wade Hampton CA |  |
| 1988 | 125 | 1.55\% | 99 | 1.23\% | 936 | 11.60\% | 156 | 1.93\% | 530 | 6.57\% | 696 | 8.62\% | 234 | 2.90\% | 255 | 3.16\% | 557 | 6.90\% |
| 1989 | 216 | 2.64\% | 124 | 1.51\% | 948 | 11.57\% | 128 | 1.56\% | 439 | 5.36\% | 716 | 8.74\% | 220 | 2.68\% | 219 | 2.67\% | 548 | 6.69\% |
| 1990 | 211 | 2.48\% | 126 | 1.48\% | 834 | 9.79\% | 169 | 1.98\% | 523 | 6.14\% | 888 | 10.43\% | 220 | 2.58\% | 222 | 2.61\% | 444 | 5.21\% |
| 1991 | 218 | 2.65\% | 109 | 1.32\% | 836 | 10.15\% | 144 | 1.75\% | 483 | 5.86\% | 849 | 10.31\% | 212 | 2.57\% | 224 | 2.72\% | 479 | 5.82\% |
| 1992 | 228 | 2.88\% | 110 | 1.39\% | 898 | 11.32\% | 161 | 2.03\% | 475 | 5.99\% | 764 | 9.63\% | 217 | 2.74\% | 101 | 1.27\% | 467 | 5.89\% |
| 1993 | 209 | 2.83\% | 99 | 1.34\% | 748 | 10.14\% | 149 | 2.02\% | 524 | 7.10\% | 747 | 10.12\% | 217 | 2.94\% | 207 | 2.80\% | 452 | 6.12\% |
| 1994 | 224 | 3.11\% | 111 | 1.54\% | 782 | 10.85\% | 134 | 1.86\% | 484 | 6.72\% | 720 | 9.99\% | 197 | 2.73\% | 200 | 2.78\% | 414 | 5.75\% |
| 1995 | 202 | 3.02\% | 114 | 1.70\% | 860 | 12.86\% | 135 | 2.02\% | 507 | 7.58\% | 613 | 9.17\% | 209 | 3.13\% | 179 | 2.68\% | 422 | 6.31\% |
| 1996 | 158 | 2.53\% | 89 | 1.43\% | 796 | 12.75\% | 127 | 2.03\% | 483 | 7.74\% | 598 | 9.58\% | 189 | 3.03\% | 168 | 2.69\% | 411 | 6.58\% |
| 1997 | 171 | 2.98\% | 94 | 1.64\% | 669 | 11.64\% | 130 | 2.26\% | 434 | 7.55\% | 551 | 9.59\% | 178 | 3.10\% | 138 | 2.40\% | 357 | 6.21\% |
| 1998 | 162 | 3.09\% | 81 | 1.55\% | 642 | 12.26\% | 107 | 2.04\% | 416 | 7.95\% | 481 | 9.19\% | 157 | 3.00\% | 75 | 1.43\% | 325 | 6.21\% |
| 1999 | 171 | 3.27\% | 71 | 1.36\% | 631 | 12.06\% | 126 | 2.41\% | 458 | 8.75\% | 490 | 9.36\% | 180 | 3.44\% | 102 | 1.95\% | 318 | 6.08\% |
| 2000 | 173 | 3.67\% | 56 | 1.19\% | 519 | 11.02\% | 123 | 2.61\% | 443 | 9.41\% | 446 | 9.47\% | 166 | 3.53\% | 88 | 1.87\% | 272 | 5.78\% |
| 2001 | 139 | 3.75\% | 65 | 1.75\% | 330 | 8.91\% | 105 | 2.83\% | 364 | 9.83\% | 413 | 11.15\% | 135 | 3.64\% | 51 | 1.38\% | 50 | 1.35\% |
| 2002 | 132 | 4.03\% | 58 | 1.77\% | 224 | 6.85\% | 95 | 2.90\% | 267 | 8.16\% | 355 | 10.85\% | 92 | 2.81\% | 34 | 1.04\% | 210 | 6.42\% |
| 2003 | 113 | 3.47\% | 60 | 1.85\% | 230 | 7.07\% | 93 | 2.86\% | 285 | 8.76\% | 345 | 10.61\% | 99 | 3.04\% | 50 | 1.54\% | 220 | 6.77\% |
| 2004 | 115 | 3.73\% | 60 | 1.94\% | 226 | 7.32\% | 87 | 2.82\% | 279 | 9.04\% | 326 | 10.56\% | 81 | 2.62\% | 20 | 0.65\% | 175 | 5.67\% |
| 2005 | 101 | 3.44\% | 44 | 1.50\% | 229 | 7.81\% | 75 | 2.56\% | 286 | 9.75\% | 287 | 9.78\% | 91 | 3.10\% | 45 | 1.53\% | 171 | 5.83\% |
| 2006 | 95 | 3.59\% | 45 | 1.70\% | 206 | 7.79\% | 64 | 2.42\% | 227 | 8.59\% | 261 | 9.87\% | 77 | 2.91\% | 43 | 1.63\% | 179 | 6.77\% |
| 2007 | 102 | 4.15\% | 37 | 1.50\% | 172 | 6.99\% | 62 | 2.52\% | 223 | 9.07\% | 264 | 10.74\% | 67 | 2.72\% | 29 | 1.18\% | 149 | 6.06\% |


| Year | Unknown <br> Census Area |  | Total Residents |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 45 | $0.56 \%$ | 8,070 |
| 1989 | 52 | $0.63 \%$ | 8,196 |
| 1990 | 58 | $0.68 \%$ | 8,517 |
| 1991 | 43 | $0.52 \%$ | 8,236 |
| 1992 | 59 | $0.74 \%$ | 7,930 |
| 1993 | 51 | $0.69 \%$ | 7,380 |
| 1994 | 37 | $0.51 \%$ | 7,206 |
| 1995 | 33 | $0.49 \%$ | 6,687 |
| 1996 | 35 | $0.56 \%$ | 6,242 |
| 1997 | 18 | $0.31 \%$ | 5,745 |
| 1998 | 103 | $1.97 \%$ | 5,235 |
| 1999 | 19 | $0.36 \%$ | 5,233 |
| 2000 | 21 | $0.45 \%$ | 4,708 |
| 2001 | 9 | $0.24 \%$ | 3,704 |
| 2002 | 17 | $0.52 \%$ | 3,272 |
| 2003 | 11 | $0.34 \%$ | 3,252 |
| 2004 | 6 | $0.19 \%$ | 3,086 |
| 2005 | 14 | $0.48 \%$ | 2,934 |
| 2006 | 13 | $0.49 \%$ | 2,644 |
| 2007 | 10 | $0.41 \%$ | 2,459 |

[^19]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tide, Cathy. 2008. A Unique Identifier for Commercial Crewmember License Data. Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, CFEC Report 08-1N, Juneau, AK.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Tide, Cathy. 2008. A Unqiue Identifier for Commercial Crewmember License Data. Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, CFEC Report 08-1N, Juneau, AK.
    ${ }^{3}$ When this project began the 2008 licensing year was still in progress, so 2008 crewmember license data were incomplete and not included in the analysis.
    ${ }^{4}$ With 4 fields (SSN, birth date, first name, and last name) there are 15 possible combinations which take into account 1, 2, 3, or 4 of the fields. The 15 combinations are: 1 . SSN, birth date, last name, first name; 2 . birth date, last name, first name; 3 . SSN, last name, first name; 4. SSN, birth date, first name; 5. SSN, birth date, last name; 6. SSN, birth date; 7. SSN, last name; 8. SSN, first name; 9 . birth date, last name; 10. birth date, first name; 11. last name, first name; 12. SSN; 13. birth date; 14. last name; 15 . first name. Nearly every combination was used during the process to identify the different licenses held by individuals. The single field combinations of birth date, last name, and first name were the only 3 combinations of the 15 not used in this process. Used singly, it was believed they were not reliable in identifying a unique individual.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Crewmember license data prior to 1988 are not available in a readable electronic format.
    ${ }^{6}$ Tide, Cathy. 2007. Preliminary Examination of Commercial Crewmember License Data. Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, CFEC Report 07-7N, Juneau, AK.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The 8 combinations containing the SSN field are: 1. SSN, birth date, last name, first name; 2. SSN, last name, first name; 3. SSN, birth date, last name; 4. SSN, birth date, first name; 5. SSN and birth date; 6. SSN and last name; 7. SSN and first name; and 8. SSN.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first 2 numbers of the SSN are masked with 'XX' so a real SSN is not used inadvertently in this example.

[^5]:    Notes:

    1. Only persons who held limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, or moratorium permits at some time between 1975 and 2007 are included (including emergency transfer
    recipients). Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits are not included.
    2. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 are included. The total number of crewmember license holders in a year may differ from other CFEC reports because of improvements/changes in the identification of unique individuals and the assignment of identification numbers.
    3. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifiers).
[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ In 2006, 200 license holders held both a crewmember license and a commercial fishing permit. According to Alaska statutes (AS 16.05.480(a)) an individual only needs one commercial fishing license in a year to participate in any fishery as a crewmember and either an ADF\&G crewmember license or a CFEC permit can be used to do so. These 200 individuals may have obtained the crewmember license and the commercial fishing permit in error not realizing the permit conveys the right to participate as a crewmember, but there are also situations where it is appropriate to have held both in a single year. For example, an individual participating as a crewmember earlier in the year under the purview of the crewmember license, who did not anticipate the intent to participate as a gear operator, who then at a later time decided to do so, and as a result was required to obtain a permit after already purchasing a crewmember license. There were also 200 individuals in 2007 that held a crewmember license and a commercial fishing permit in the same year.
    ${ }^{9}$ Initially this may appear in conflict with residency counts provided in the previous CFEC report on crewmember license holders (License Longevity, Alaskan Community, and Age of Commercial Crewmember License Holders, CFEC Report 089N) which indicated residents comprised the majority of license holders in each license year between 1988 and 2006. Residency in that report was determined annually rather than once for each license holder as done here. There are fewer individuals flagged as residents at the beginning of their career than nonresidents; but the residents have, in general, held licenses in more years than those flagged as nonresidents.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Region assignments were made based upon the census areas associated with the license career beginning city of crewmember license holders with a resident status as their license career beginning residency. The Arctic Region is comprised of the North Slope Borough and the Northwest Arctic Borough; the Interior Regions is comprised of the Denali Borough, the Fairbanks North Star Borough, the Southeast Fairbanks Census Area, and the Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area; the Southeast Region is comprised of the Haines Borough, the Juneau City and Borough, the Ketchikan Gateway Borough, the Prince of Wales - Outer Ketchikan Census Area, the Sitka City and Borough, the Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon Census Area, the Wrangell-Petersburg Census Area, and the Yakutat City and Borough; the South Central Region is comprised of the Anchorage Municipality, the Kenai Peninsula Borough, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, and the Valdez-Cordova Census Area; the Western Region is comprised of the Aleutians East Borough, the Aleutians West Census Area, the Bethel Census Area, the Bristol Bay Borough, the Dillingham Census Area, the Kodiak Island Borough, the Lake and Peninsula Borough, the Nome Census Area, and the Wade Hampton Census Area. The author of this report apologizes for leaving the westernmost Aleutian Islands off the map in Figure 1.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ The 2000 US Census information was used to determine the census area and rural/urban designations for the license career beginning city of crewmember license holders with a resident status as their license career beginning residency. With Census 2000, the Census Bureau significantly changed its method of classifying areas as rural or urban. As a consequence, some changes have occurred to the rural/urban designations since the 1990 Census for some of Alaskan communities. Appendix A of CFEC's Changes in the Distribution of Alaska's Commercial Fisheries Entry Permits, 1975-2007, CFEC Rpt 09-4N contains a more complete description of the changes in rural/urban designations. For this report, designations arising from the 2000 Census were applied to all years of license data.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ There 13,693 crewmember license holders that have a different rural or urban designation at the end of their crewmember license career than at the beginning of their license career (7.6\%).

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ The holder of an interim-use permit may only emergency transfer their permit if they are an applicant for a permanent limited entry permit. Oftentimes this type of interim-use permit is referred to as an interim-entry permit so as to distinguish it from an interim-use permit in an open access fishery.

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ This report does not include vessel limited entry permits for the Bering Sea hair crab or Weathervane scallop fisheries because those permits are issued to vessels rather than to individuals.
    ${ }^{15}$ The author of this report had thought to compare limited entry and interim-use permit holdings of crewmember license holders to limited entry and interim-use permit holdings of permit holders not identified in the crewmember license data. Unfortunately, it is not possible to identify permit holders that have not held a crewmember license because of the abbreviated years of crewmember license data available.

[^12]:    Notes:

    1. Resource type refers to the fishery resource for which a permit was issued.
    2. Only crewmember license holders that were the permit holder are included. Permits held through emergency transfer are not included.
    3. Several of the Southeast Alaska pot gear crab permits are issued for both King and Tanner crab resources (K 49A, K 59A, and K 69A). In this table, individuals with these permit types have been counted for in both the King crab and Tanner crab resource categories.
    4. Individuals may have held permits in more than 1 resource category, so the sum of crewmember license holders in all the categories will exceed the total count of unique crewmember license/permit holders.
    5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).
[^13]:    Notes:

    1. Permit fishery refers to the resource type, gear type, area, and possibly vessel or gear restriction for which a permit was issued. Crewmember license holders have held permits in 431 permit fisheries.
    2. Only permit holders are included. Emergency Transfer holders are excluded.
    3. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier).
[^14]:    ${ }^{16}$ Tide, Cathy. 2008. License Longevity, Alaskan Community, and Age of Commercial Crewmember License Holders. Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, CFEC Report 08-9N, Juneau, AK.

[^15]:    ${ }^{17}$ Recall, the cumulative career longevity of individuals that have not held a CFEC permit is the same as their cumulative license longevity.

[^16]:    ${ }^{18}$ When CFEC permit data are restricted to 1988 through 2007 for this analysis, 5,399 of the 19,794 individuals credited with holding a crewmember license prior to a CFEC permit, only held permits prior to 1988. None of their permit history is taken into account.

[^17]:    ${ }^{19}$ The license class code is used to distinguish different types of commercial crewmember licenses. The code can differentiate resident licenses from nonresident licenses, yearlong licenses from 7-day licenses, and adult licenses from child licenses. The class codes 30,34 , or 36 indicate a resident license. The class codes 31,35 , or 37 indicate a nonresident license. The three codes reflect an annual license, a child license, or a 7 -day license, respectively, for each residency. ${ }^{20}$ In the event an individual loses their crewmember license, they may obtain a duplicate license for a reduced fee. The class code of a license can distinguish these duplicate licenses from originally issued licenses. On the duplicate license application, the crewmember is supposed to indicate the type of license of the original license, and that information is captured in the original class code. The original class codes of 30,34 , or 36 indicate a resident license. The original class codes 31,35 , or 37 indicate a nonresident license.
    ${ }^{21}$ In some cases the original class code field contains values that reflect an unknown residency (i.e. 32 or 33 ) or reflect an unknown type of license ( $0,5 \mathrm{a}$, un, or blank).
    ${ }^{22}$ Although individuals may move within a year, it is fairly uncommon for the residency associated with an individual's licenses to change during the course of a year. In only 860 of the 510,676 license holder/year combinations that exist does the license residency change within a year ( $0.17 \%$ ).
    ${ }^{23}$ If the city indicated on a crewmember license was Anacortes, Bellingham, Beremertion (presumably Bremerton), Tukwilla, Tukwla (presumably Tukwilla), Port Townsend, Port Orchard, or Seattle, and the state indicated was 'AK' then the state field was corrected to 'WA'. If the city was Pebble Beach, Chico, or Daly City and the state was 'AK' then the state field was corrected to 'CA'. If the city indicated on a license was Astoria and the state was 'AK' then the state field was corrected to 'OR'. The state field was not modified for any other licenses. If the corrected state was 'AK' then the license holder is assumed to be a resident. All other values in the corrected state field resulted in classification as a nonresident.
    ${ }^{24}$ If the city indicated on a crewmember license was Anacortes, Bellingham, Beremertion (presumably Bremerton), Tukwilla, Tukwla (presumably Tukwilla), Port Townsend, Port Orchard, or Seattle, and the state indicated was 'AK' then the state field

[^18]:    Notes:

    1. The Alaska census areas reflect the license year-beginning residency and license year-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown census area indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license year-beginning, but their license year-beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a census area
    2. CA stands for Census Area.
    3. Only permit holders and emergency transfer holders of limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, and moratorium permits between 1975 and 2007 were included. Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits were not included
    4. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included 5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file.
[^19]:    Notes:

    1. The Alaska census areas reflect the license year-beginning residency and license year-beginning city of the license holder. An Unknown census area indicates the crewmember license holder was an Alaska resident at license year-beginning, but their license year-beginning city could not be matched to the Census file. As such, a census area could not be assigned. Only Alaska residents were assigned a census area.
    2. CA stands for Census Area
    3. Only permit holders and emergency transfer holders of limited entry, interim-entry, interim-use, and moratorium permits between 1975 and 2007 were included. Those permit holders with experimental, test fishing, educational, reservation, hatchery, or vessel permits were not included. Revoked
    4. Only commercial crewmember license holders with valid licenses between 1988 and 2007 were included.
    5. Source: CFEC's permit file, CFEC's permit holder and crewmember match file, CFEC's adaptation of the ADF\&G commercial crewmember file (with assigned unique identifier), and CFEC's 2000 Census file
